

Special CR maximal dimensional submanifolds in the Kenmotsu space forms

Samaneh Norouzi^a and Mohammad Ilmakchi^{b*} 

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Basic Science, Azarbaijan Shahid
Madani University, Tabriz, Iran

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Basic Science, Azarbaijan Shahid
Madani University, Tabriz, Iran

E-mail: s.norouzi@azaruniv.ac.ir

E-mail: ilmakchi@azaruniv.ac.ir

Abstract. The $(n + 1)$ -dimensional almost metric contact submanifolds with maximal CR - submanifolds of $(n - 1)$ in the Kenmotsu space forms classified such that $n > 5$ and $h(FX, Y) - h(X, FY) = g(FX, Y)\zeta$ for vector fields X, Y tangent to M , where h and F denote the second fundamental form and a skew-symmetric endomorphism acting on the tangent space of M , respectively, and ζ a non zero normal vector field to M .

Keywords: CR maximal dimensional submanifolds, Kenmotsu manifolds, Kenmotsu Space Form.

1. Introduction

Let M be a connected $(n + 1)$ -dimensional submanifold of codimension $q + 1$ of a Kenmotsu space form $(\bar{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$, where $n > 5$. Then it is known

*Corresponding Author

AMS 2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C25, 53C40

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

Copyright © 2024 The Author(s). Published by University of Mohaghegh Ardabili

that if the maximal ϕ -invariant subspace of each tangent space is $(n - 1)$ -dimensional, M admits a naturally induced metric structure [4], [5]. For the hypersurface case, the maximal ϕ -invariant subspace is necessarily $(n - 1)$ -dimensional and when the ambient space \bar{M} is a Kenmotsu space form, it is the maximal holomorphic subspace. On the other hand, for arbitrary codimension $q + 1$, less detailed results are known, but more may be expected.

Kim et al. studied in [10] the maximal dimensional contact CR -submanifolds in unit sphere which satisfy the condition

$$h(FX, Y) + h(X, FY) = 0.$$

They determined such submanifolds under the additional condition, where F denotes a skew-symmetric endomorphism induced from ϕ acting on the tangent bundle TM and h the second fundamental form on M . Also, Okumura et al. studied in [4] the maximal dimensional contact CR -submanifolds in complex space form with the same condition. Recently, in [9] Kim et al. and the author in [6] introduced the same submanifolds in Sasakian space form and Kenmotsu space form, respectively.

Afterward Kim et al. studied in [11] the maximal dimensional contact CR -submanifold in unit sphere which satisfy the condition

$$h(FX, Y) - h(X, FY) = g(FX, Y)\zeta$$

for a normal non-zero vector field ζ to M . Also Okumura et al. in [5] and the author et al. in [7] studied the maximal dimensional contact CR -submanifold in complex space forms and Sasakian space forms with the same condition, respectively.

In this paper, we study $(n + 1)$ -dimensional contact CR -submanifolds of $(n - 1)$ contact CR -dimension in a Kenmotsu space form and determine such submanifolds in a complete simply connected Kenmotsu space form of constant ϕ -holomorphic sectional curvature c , under the assumption

$$h(FX, Y) - h(X, FY) = g(FX, Y)\zeta$$

for a normal non-zero vector field ζ to M . As our main results, we obtain:

Theorem. Let M be a CR -submanifold of $(n - 1)$ contact CR -dimension in the Kenmotsu space form $\bar{M}^{2n+1}(c)$. If, for any vector fields X, Y tangent to M , the above condition holds on M , then

- : for $c \neq -1$, $\bar{M}^{2n+1}(c)$ does not admit any CR -submanifolds of $(n - 1)$ contact CR - dimension.
- : for $c = -1$, either M is a totally geodesic submanifold either or M is locally isometric to a product of $C \times M_\lambda$, which C is a geodesic curve and M_λ is submanifold of M or M is locally isometric to a product $M_1 \times M_2$, where M_1 and M_2 are F -anti-invariant submanifolds in M .

All manifolds, submanifolds and geometric objects will be assumed to be connected, differentiable and of class C^∞ , and all maps also be of class C^∞ if not stated otherwise.

2. Preliminaries

A differentiable manifold \overline{M}^{2n+1} is said to have an almost contact structure if it admits a (non-vanishing) vector field ξ , a one-form η and a $(1, 1)$ -tensor field ϕ satisfying

$$\eta(\xi) = 1, \quad \phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi,$$

where I denotes the field of identity transformations of the tangent spaces at all points. These conditions imply that $\phi\xi = 0$ and $\eta \circ \phi = 0$, and that the endomorphism ϕ has rank $2n$ at every point in \overline{M}^{2n+1} . A manifold \overline{M}^{2n+1} , equipped with an almost contact structure (ϕ, ξ, η) , is called an almost contact manifold.

Suppose that \overline{M}^{2n+1} is a manifold carrying an almost contact structure. A Riemannian metric \overline{g} on \overline{M}^{2n+1} satisfying

$$\overline{g}(\phi X, \phi Y) = \overline{g}(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

for all vector fields X and Y , is called compatible with the almost contact structure. It is known that an almost contact manifold always admits at least one compatible metric. Note that

$$\eta(X) = \overline{g}(X, \xi),$$

for all vector fields X tangent to \overline{M}^{2n+1} , which means that η is the metric dual of the characteristic vector field ξ .

A manifold \overline{M}^{2n+1} is said to be a contact manifold if it carries a global one-form η such that

$$\eta \wedge (d\eta)^n \neq 0,$$

everywhere on M . The one-form η is called the contact form.

A submanifold M of a Riemannian contact manifold \overline{M}^{2n+1} tangent to ξ is called an invariant (resp. anti-invariant) submanifold if $\phi(T_p M) \subset T_p M$, for each $p \in M$ (resp. $\phi(T_p M) \subset T_p^\perp M$, for each $p \in M$).

A submanifold M tangent to ξ of a contact manifold \overline{M}^{2n+1} is called a contact CR-submanifold if there exists a pair of orthogonal differentiable distributions D and D^\perp on M such that:

- (1) $TM = D \oplus D^\perp \oplus \mathbb{R}\xi$, where $\mathbb{R}\xi$ is the 1-dimensional distribution spanned by ξ ;
- (2) D is invariant by ϕ , i.e., $\phi(D_p) \subset D_p$, for each $p \in M$;
- (3) D^\perp is anti-invariant by ϕ , i.e., $\phi(D_p^\perp) \subset T_p^\perp M$, for each $p \in M$.

Let $(\overline{M}, \phi, \xi, \eta, \overline{g})$ be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional contact manifold such that

$$\overline{\nabla}_X \xi = X - \eta(X)\xi, \quad (\overline{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = \overline{g}(X, \phi Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X,$$

where $\overline{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Chivita connection of \overline{M} , then \overline{M} is called a Kenmotsu manifold. The plane section π of $T\overline{M}$ is called a ϕ -section if $\phi\pi_x \subseteq \pi_x$, for each $x \in \overline{M}$. Also \overline{M} is called of constant ϕ -sectional curvature if the sectional curvature of ϕ -sections is constant. A Kenmotsu space form is a Kenmotsu manifold of constant ϕ -sectional curvature. In this case the Riemannian curvature tensor field \overline{R} is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{R}(X, Y)Z &= \frac{c+3}{4}\{\overline{g}(Y, Z)X - \overline{g}(X, Z)Y\} \\ &\quad - \frac{c-1}{4}\{\eta(Z)[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y] + [\overline{g}(Y, Z)\eta(X) - \overline{g}(X, Z)\eta(Y)]\xi \\ &\quad \quad - \overline{g}(\phi Y, Z)\phi X + \overline{g}(\phi X, Z)\phi Y + 2\overline{g}(\phi X, Y)\phi Z\}, \end{aligned}$$

for each $X, Y, Z \in \chi(\overline{M})$.

Let M be an $(n + 1)$ -dimensional submanifold tangent to the structure vector field ξ of \overline{M} . If the ϕ -invariant subspace D_x has constant dimension for any $x \in M$, then M is called a contact CR -submanifold and the constant is called contact CR -dimension of M (cf. [1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11]).

3. CR maximal dimensional submanifold structure

Let $(\overline{M}(c), \overline{g})$ be an $(n + p)$ -dimensional Kenmotsu space form with contact structure (ϕ, ξ, η) and let M be an n -dimensional submanifold tangent to the structure vector field ξ of $\overline{M}(c)$ with the immersion ι of M into $\overline{M}(c)$. Then the tangent bundle TM is identified with a subbundle of $T\overline{M}$ and a Riemannian metric g of M is induced from the Riemannian metric \overline{g} in such a way that $g(X, Y) = \overline{g}(\iota X, \iota Y)$, where X, Y in TM , while we denote the differential of the immersion also by ι . The normal bundle $T^\perp M$ is the subbundle of $T\overline{M}$ consisting of all X of $T\overline{M}$ which are orthogonal to TM with respect to Riemannian metric \overline{g} .

Now, let M be a CR submanifold of maximal CR dimension, that is, at each point x of M , if we denote by D_x the ϕ -invariant subspace of the tangent space $T_x M$, then ξ cannot be contained in D_x at any point $x \in M$, thus the assumption $\dim D_x^\perp = 2$ being constant and equal to 2 at each point $x \in M$ yields that M can be dealt with as a contact CR-submanifold, where D_x^\perp denotes the complementary orthogonal subspace to D_x in $T_x M$. Further, the tangent space $T_x M$ satisfies $\dim(T_x M \cap \phi T_x M) = n - 2$.

Moreover, then it follows that M is even-dimensional and that there exists a unit vector field N normal to M such that

$$\phi TM \subset TM \oplus \text{span}\{N\}.$$

In [6], the author showed the following equalises

$$g(U, X) = u(X), \tag{3.1}$$

$$F^2X = -X + \eta(X)\xi + u(X)U, \tag{3.2}$$

$$u(FX) = \eta(FX) = 0, \quad FU = F\xi = 0, \quad PN = 0, \tag{3.3}$$

$$u(\xi) = \eta(U) = 0, \quad U_i = 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, p - 1. \tag{3.4}$$

Further, let us denote by $\bar{\nabla}$ and ∇ the Levi-Civita connection on $\bar{M}(c)$ and M , respectively, and by ∇^\perp the normal connection induced from $\bar{\nabla}$ in the normal bundle TM^\perp of M . Then Gauss and Weingarten formulae are given by

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y), \tag{3.5}$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X N = -AX + \nabla_X^\perp N = -AX + \sum_{a=1}^q \{s_a(X)N_a + s_{a^*}(X)N_{a^*}\}, \tag{3.6}$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X N_a = -A_a X - s_a(X)N + \sum_{b=1}^q \{s_{ab}(X)N_b + s_{ab^*}(X)N_{b^*}\}, \tag{3.7}$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X N_{a^*} = -A_{a^*} X - s_{a^*}(X)N + \sum_{b=1}^q \{s_{a^*b}(X)N_b + s_{a^*b^*}(X)N_{b^*}\}, \tag{3.8}$$

$$h(X, Y) = g(AX, Y)N + \sum_{a=1}^q \{g(A_a X, Y)N_a + g(A_{a^*} X, Y)N_{a^*}\}. \tag{3.9}$$

for any tangent vector fields X, Y to M . Also we have

$$A_a X = -FA_{a^*} X + s_{a^*}(X)U, \quad tr A_{a^*} = -s_a(U), \tag{3.10}$$

$$A_{a^*} X = FA_a X - s_a(X)U, \quad tr A_a = -s_{a^*}(U), \tag{3.11}$$

$$s_a(X) = -u(A_{a^*} X), \quad s_{a^*b^*}(X) = s_{ab}(X), \tag{3.12}$$

$$s_{a^*}(X) = u(A_a X), \quad s_{a^*b}(X) = -s_{ab^*}(X), \tag{3.13}$$

$$g((FA_a + A_a F)X, Y) = s_a(X)u(Y) - s_a(Y)u(X), \tag{3.14}$$

$$g((FA_{a^*} + A_{a^*} F)X, Y) = s_{a^*}(X)u(Y) - s_{a^*}(Y)u(X). \tag{3.15}$$

$$(\nabla_Y F)X = g(FY, X)\xi - \eta(X)FY - g(AY, X)U + u(X)AY, \tag{3.16}$$

$$g(FX, FY) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y) - u(X)u(Y), \tag{3.17}$$

$$\nabla_X U = FAX - u(X)\xi, \tag{3.18}$$

$$\nabla_X \xi = X - \eta(X)\xi, \tag{3.19}$$

$$A\xi = 0, \quad A_a \xi = 0, \quad A_{a^*} \xi = 0, \quad a = 1, \dots, q. \tag{3.20}$$

If the ambient manifold \bar{M} is a Kenmotsu space form $\bar{M}(c)$, i.e., a Kenmotsu space form of constant ϕ -holomorphic sectional curvature c , then the curvature

tensor \bar{R} of $\bar{M}(c)$ has a special form and the Gauss equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
R(X, Y)Z &= \frac{c-3}{4}\{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y\} \\
&+ \frac{c+1}{4}\{\eta(X)\eta(Z)Y - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X + g(X, Z)\eta(Y)\xi \\
&\quad - g(Y, Z)\eta(X)\xi + g(FY, Z)FX - g(FX, Z)FY - 2g(FX, Y)FZ\} \\
&+ g(AY, Z)AX + g(AX, Z)AY \\
&+ \sum_{a=1}^q \{g(A_a Y, Z)A_a X - g(A_a X, Z)A_a Y\} \\
&+ \sum_{a=1}^q \{g(A_{a^*} Y, Z)A_{a^*} X - g(A_{a^*} X, Z)A_{a^*} Y\}, \tag{3.21}
\end{aligned}$$

for any vector fields X, Y, Z tangent to M , where R denotes the Riemannian curvature tensor of M . In this case, we can see that the equations of Codazzi and Ricci-Kühne imply

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_Y A)X &= \frac{c+1}{4}\{u(X)FY - u(Y)FX - 2g(FX, Y)U\} \\
&+ \sum_{a=1}^q \{s_a(X)A_a Y - s_a(Y)A_a X + s_{a^*}(X)A_{a^*} Y - s_{a^*}(Y)A_{a^*} X\}, \tag{3.22}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla_X A_a)Y - (\nabla_Y A_a)X &= s_a(Y)AX - s_a(X)AY \\
&+ \sum_{b=1}^q \{s_{ab}(X)A_b Y - s_{ab}(Y)A_b X + s_{ab^*}(X)A_{b^*} Y - s_{ab^*}(Y)A_{b^*} X\}, \tag{3.23}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla_X A_{a^*})Y - (\nabla_Y A_{a^*})X &= s_{a^*}(Y)AX - s_{a^*}(X)AY \\
&+ \sum_{b=1}^q \{s_{a^*b}(X)A_b Y - s_{a^*b}(Y)A_b X + s_{a^*b^*}(X)A_{b^*} Y - s_{a^*b^*}(Y)A_{b^*} X\}, \tag{3.24}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{g}(\bar{R}(X, Y)\xi_a, \xi) &= g((AA_a - A_a A)X, Y) + (\nabla_X s_a)(Y) - (\nabla_Y s_a)(X) \\
&+ \sum_{b=1}^q \{s_{ab}(Y)s_b(X) - s_{ab}(X)s_b(Y) + s_{ab^*}(Y)s_{b^*}(X) - s_{ab^*}(X)s_{b^*}(Y)\} \tag{3.25}
\end{aligned}$$

for any vector fields X, Y tangent to M .

4. Proof of the Main Theorem

In this section we let M be an $(n+1)$ -dimensional contact CR -submanifold of $(n-1)$ contact CR -dimension immersed in a Kenmotsu space form $\bar{M}(c)$ and let us use the same notation as stated in the previous section.

We assume that the equality

$$h(FX, Y) - h(X, FY) = g(FX, Y)\zeta \tag{4.1}$$

holds on M for a normal vector field ζ to M . We also use the orthonormal basis (3.4) of normal vectors to M and set

$$\zeta = \rho N + \sum_{a=1}^q (\rho_a N_a + \rho_{a^*} N_{a^*}).$$

Then by means of (3.9) the condition (4.1) is equivalent to

$$(AF + FA)X = \rho FX, \tag{4.2}$$

$$(A_a F + F A_a)X = \rho_a FX, \quad (A_{a^*} F + F A_{a^*})X = \rho_{a^*} FX \tag{4.3}$$

for all $a = 1, \dots, q$. Moreover, the last two equations combined with (3.14) and (3.15) yield

$$s_a(X)u(Y) - s_a(Y)u(X) = \rho_a g(FX, Y), \tag{4.4}$$

$$s_{a^*}(X)u(Y) - s_{a^*}(Y)u(X) = \rho_{a^*} g(FX, Y), \tag{4.5}$$

from which, putting $Y = U$ and $Y = \xi$ into (4.4) and (4.5), respectively, and using (3.1), we obtain

$$s_a(X) = s_a(U)u(X), \quad s_{a^*}(X) = s_{a^*}(U)u(X), \tag{4.6}$$

$$s_a(\xi) = 0, \quad s_{a^*}(\xi) = 0, \quad a = 1, \dots, q.$$

Substituting (4.6) into (4.5), we have

$$\rho_a = 0, \quad \rho_{a^*} = 0, \quad a = 1, \dots, q$$

and consequently with the aid of (4.3) we obtain

$$F A_a + A_a F = 0, \quad F A_{a^*} + A_{a^*} F = 0, \quad a = 1, \dots, q. \tag{4.7}$$

As a direct consequence of (4.2) and (4.7), it follows from (3.1), (3.2), (3.12), (3.20) and (3.21) that

$$AU = \lambda U, \quad \lambda := u(AU) \tag{4.8}$$

and, for $a = 1, \dots, q$,

$$A_a U = u(A_a U)U = s_{a^*}(U)U, \quad A_{a^*} U = u(A_{a^*} U)U = -s_a(U)U. \tag{4.9}$$

Inserting FX into (4.2) instead of X and using (3.2), (3.20) and (4.8), we have

$$AX = \{(\lambda - \rho)u(X) + \eta(X)\}U + \{u(X) - \rho\eta(X)\}\xi + FAFX + \rho X. \tag{4.10}$$

On the other hand, $FD_x = D_x$ at each point $x \in M$, and thus there exists a local orthonormal basis $\{E_i, E_{i^*}, U, \xi\}_{i=1, \dots, l}$ of tangent vectors to M such that

$$E_{i^*} = FE_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, l := \frac{n-1}{2}. \tag{4.11}$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let M be an $(n + 1)$ -dimensional contact CR-submanifold of $(n - 1)$ contact CR-dimension immersed in a Kenmotsu space form $\overline{M}(c)$. If the condition (4.1) is satisfied on M for a non-zero normal vector field ρ to M , then U is an eigenvector of the shape operator A with respect to distinguished normal vector field ξ , at any point of M .*

Using Gauss equation (3.21) and Ricci-Kuhne formula (3.25), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \overline{g}(\overline{R}(X, Y)\xi_a, \xi) &= g(AA_a X, Y) - g(A_a A X, Y) \\ &+ (\nabla_X s_a)(Y) - (\nabla_Y s_a)(X) \\ &+ \sum_{b=1}^q \{s_b(Y)s_{ba}(X) + s_b(Y)s_{b^*a}(X) \\ &- s_b(X)s_{ba}(Y) - s_{b^*}(X)s_{b^*a}(Y)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Lemma 4.2. *Let M be an $(n + 1)$ -dimensional contact CR-submanifold of $(n - 1)$ contact CR-dimension immersed in a Kenmotsu space form $M(c)$. If, for any vector fields X, Y tangent to M , the equality (4.1) holds on M for a non-zero normal vector field ρ to M , then*

$$s_a = 0, \quad s_{a^*} = 0, \quad a = 1, \dots, q,$$

namely, the distinguished normal vector field N is parallel with respect to the normal connection. Moreover,

$$A_a = 0, \quad A_{a^*} = 0, \quad a = 1, \dots, q.$$

Proof. First, differentiating the relation (3.11) and using (3.16), (3.18), (4.8) and (4.9), we obtain

$$g((\nabla_X A_{a^*})Y, U) = -g(A_a A X, Y) + \lambda s_{a^*}(U)u(X)u(Y) - (\nabla_X s_a)(Y). \quad (4.13)$$

Reversing X and Y and subtracting thus yields

$$\begin{aligned} g((\nabla_X A_{a^*})Y - (\nabla_Y A_{a^*})X, U) &= g((AA_a - A_a A)X, Y) \\ &- (\nabla_X s_a)(Y) + (\nabla_Y s_a)(X). \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Substituting (3.25) into (4.14) and using (4.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g((AA_a - A_a A)X, Y) - (\nabla_X s_a)(Y) + (\nabla_Y s_a)(X) &= \\ &\sum_{b=1}^q \{s_{a^*b}(X)g(A_b Y, U) - s_{a^*b}(Y)g(A_b X, U)\} \\ &+ \sum_{b=1}^q \{s_{a^*b^*}(X)g(A_{b^*} Y, U) - s_{a^*b^*}(Y)g(A_{b^*} X, U)\} \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

Now, using (3.11), (3.12), (3.13), relations (4.12) and (4.15) yield

$$g((AA_a - A_a A)X, Y) = 0, \quad (4.16)$$

for all $X, Y \in T(M)$. On the other hand, differentiating (4.9) and using (3.18) and (4.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g((\nabla_X A_{a^*})Y - (\nabla_Y A_{a^*})X, U) + g((A_{a^*}FA + AFA_{a^*})X, Y) \\ = Y(s_a(U))u(X) - X(s_a(U))u(Y) - \rho s_a(U)g(FX, Y) \\ + s_a(U)u(X)\eta(Y) - s_a(U)u(Y)\eta(U). \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

From (3.17) and using (3.11), (3.12), (3.20), (4.6) and (4.8), we compute

$$g((A_{a^*}FA + AFA_{a^*})X, Y) = g((A_aA - AA_a)X, Y). \tag{4.18}$$

From (3.12), (3.13), Codazzi equation (3.24) and (4.8), yields

$$\begin{aligned} g((\nabla_X A_{a^*})Y - (\nabla_Y A_{a^*})X, U) &= \lambda s_{a^*}(Y)u(X) - \lambda s_{a^*}(X)u(Y) \\ &+ \sum_{b=1}^q \{s_{a^*b}(X)s_{b^*}(Y) - s_{a^*b}(Y)s_{b^*}(X)\} \\ &+ \sum_{b=1}^q \{s_{a^*b^*}(Y)s_b(X) - s_{a^*b^*}(X)s_b(Y)\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

Therefore, using (4.17), (4.18) and (4.19), we get

$$\begin{aligned} Y(s_a(U))u(X) - X(s_a(U))u(Y) - \rho s_a(U)g(FX, Y) \\ + s_a(U)u(X)\eta(Y) - s_a(U)u(Y)\eta(U) \\ = g((A_aA - AA_a)X, Y) + \lambda s_{a^*}(Y)u(X) - \lambda s_{a^*}(X)u(Y) \\ + \sum_{b=1}^q \{s_{a^*b}(X)s_{b^*}(Y) - s_{a^*b}(Y)s_{b^*}(X)\} \\ + \sum_{b=1}^q \{s_{a^*b^*}(Y)s_b(X) - s_{a^*b^*}(X)s_b(Y)\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

Putting $Y = U$ into (4.20) and taking account of (4.6), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} X(s_a(U)) &= U(s_a(U))u(X) - s_a(U)\eta(X) \\ &- \sum_{b=1}^q \{s_{a^*b}(X)s_{b^*}(U) - s_{a^*b^*}(X)s_b(U) \\ &- s_{a^*b}(U)s_{b^*}(U)u(X) + s_{a^*b^*}(U)s_b(U)u(X)\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

Also, with using (4.6) and (4.8), we conclude $g((A_aA - AA_a)X, U) = 0$. Therefore, relation (4.20) with (4.21) and using (4.6), we have

$$g((AA_a - A_aA)X, Y) = \rho s_a(U)g(FX, Y). \tag{4.22}$$

Thus (4.16) and (4.22) imply $s_a(U) = 0$ and consequently, from (4.6) we conclude $s_a(X) = 0$. In entirely the same way, we obtain $s_{a^*} = 0$, which completes the proof. \square

Now from lemma 4.2, we would have

$$(\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_Y A)X = \frac{c+1}{4}\{u(X)FY - u(Y)FX - 2g(FX, Y)U\}. \quad (4.23)$$

Since A is self adjoint, (3.20) and (4.8) show that D is an invariant subspaces under A . Hence there exists a locally orthonormal frame

$$X_1, \dots, X_{2n-2},$$

for D , where

$$AX_i = \alpha_i X_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, 2n-2.$$

Proposition 4.3. *Let M be an $(n+1)$ -dimensional contact CR-submanifold of $(n-1)$ contact CR-dimension in the Kenmotsu space form $\overline{M}(c)$. If the equality (4.1) holds on M for a non-zero normal vector field ρ to M , then for eigenvalues of the shape operator A of M we have*

$$\begin{aligned} X(\lambda) = X(\alpha_i) &= 0, \text{ for all } X \perp \xi, \\ \xi(\lambda) &= -\lambda, \quad \xi(\alpha_i) = -\alpha_i. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Differentiating (4.8) covariantly and using (3.18), (3.20), (4.2) and (4.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g((\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_Y A)X, U) &= -2g(AFX, Y) + X(\lambda)u(Y) - Y(\lambda)u(X) \\ &\quad + \lambda\rho g(FX, Y) - \lambda u(X)\eta(Y) + \lambda u(Y)\eta(X). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, using (3.3) and (4.23), we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{c+1}{2}g(FX, Y) &= -2g(AFX, Y) + X(\lambda)u(Y) - Y(\lambda)u(X) \\ &\quad + \lambda\rho g(FX, Y) - \lambda u(X)\eta(Y) + \lambda u(Y)\eta(X). \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

Putting $Y = U$ into the the last equation and using (3.3), we obtain

$$X(\lambda) = U(\lambda)u(X) - \lambda\eta(X). \quad (4.25)$$

Choosing $X \in D$ in (4.25) we get

$$X(\lambda) = 0, \quad (4.26)$$

and as well choosing $X = \xi$ in (4.25) we have

$$\xi(\lambda) = -\lambda. \quad (4.27)$$

Substituting (4.25) into (4.24), we obtain

$$-\frac{c+1}{2}g(FX, Y) = -2g(AFX, Y) + \lambda\rho g(FX, Y)$$

Putting $X = X_i$ into the the last equation and using (4.2), we have

$$\alpha_i^2 - \rho\alpha_i + \frac{\lambda\rho}{2} + \frac{c+1}{4} = 0. \quad (4.28)$$

Differentiating (4.8) covariantly respect to U and using (3.18), (3.19), (4.8) and (4.23), we have

$$U(\lambda) = 0.$$

Putting $X = X_i$ and $Y = \xi$ into the (4.23) and using (3.3), (3.19), (3.20) and (4.26), we have

$$\xi(\alpha_i) = -\alpha_i.$$

Taking $Y = U$ and $X = X_i$ into the (4.23) and using (3.18) and (4.8), we obtain

$$U(\alpha_i) = 0.$$

Putting $X = X_i$ and $Y = X_j$ into the (4.23), we obtain

$$X(\alpha_i) = 0,$$

which completes the proof. □

Proposition 4.4. *Let M be an $(n + 1)$ -dimensional contact CR-submanifold of $(n - 1)$ contact CR-dimension in Kenmotsu space form $\overline{M}(c)$. If the equality (4.1) holds on M for a non-zero normal vector field ρ to M , then $c = -1$.*

Proof. Putting $X = FX_i$ and $Y = \xi$ in (4.23) and using proposition 4.3, (3.3), (3.19), (4.2), it follows that

$$\xi(\rho) = -\rho. \tag{4.29}$$

With differentiating of the equation (4.28) and relations proposition 4.3, (4.27) and (4.29) we have

$$\alpha_i^2 + \alpha_i \lambda + \frac{\lambda \rho}{2} = 0, \tag{4.30}$$

therefore $c = -1$. □

Hence, we can state the following:

Theorem 4.5. *A Kenmotsu space form with $c \neq -1$ does not admit any CR-submanifold of $(n - 1)$ contact CR-dimension for which equality (4.1) holds for a non-zero normal vector field ρ to M .*

Proposition 4.6. *Let M be an $(n + 1)$ -dimensional contact CR-submanifold of $(n - 1)$ contact CR-dimension in the Kenmotsu space form $\overline{M}(-1)$. If the equality (4.1) holds on M for a non-zero normal vector field ρ to M , then for eigenvalues of the shape operator A of M we have*

$$X(\rho) = 0, \text{ for all } X \perp \xi, \quad \xi(\rho) = -\rho.$$

Proof. Taking $X = FX_i$ and $Y = U$ in (4.23) and using proposition 4.3, (3.3), (3.19), (3.20), (4.2) and (4.8), follows that

$$U(\rho) = 0. \quad (4.31)$$

Differentiating (4.2) covariantly and using (3.16), (3.20), (4.2) and (4.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} X(\rho)FY &= (\nabla_X A)FY + F(\nabla_X A)Y + u(Y)A^2X + (\lambda - \rho)u(Y)AX \\ &\quad + \eta(Y)FAX - \{(\lambda - \rho)g(AX, Y) - g(AX, AY)\}U \\ &\quad + \{g(FX, AY) - \rho g(FX, Y)\}\xi \end{aligned}$$

from which, using (3.3) and the orthonormal basis given by (4.11),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g((\nabla_{E_i} A)FY, E_i) - \sum_{i=1}^l g((\nabla_{E_i} A)FE_i - (\nabla_{FE_i} A)E_i, Y) \\ + (trA^2 + (\lambda - \rho)trA - \lambda(\lambda - \rho) - \lambda^2)u(Y) = (FY)(\rho). \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

On the other hand, using (3.3) and (3.19), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g((\nabla_{E_i} A)FY, E_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g((\nabla_{FY} A)E_i, E_i) = 0, \quad (4.33)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^l g((\nabla_{E_i} A)FE_i - (\nabla_{FE_i} A)E_i, Y) = 0. \quad (4.34)$$

Substituting (4.25) into (4.24) and use (4.2) implies

$$\left(\frac{\lambda\rho}{2} + \frac{c+1}{4}\right)FX + \rho FAX - FA^2X = 0.$$

Applying F to this equation and using (3.2), (3.3), (3.20), (4.2) and (4.8), we can easily obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A^2X &= (\lambda^2 - \lambda + \frac{\lambda\rho}{2} + \frac{c+1}{4})u(X)U + (\frac{\lambda\rho}{2} + \frac{c+1}{4})\eta(X)\xi \\ &\quad - (\frac{\lambda\rho}{2} + \frac{c+1}{4})X - \rho AX. \end{aligned} \quad (4.35)$$

Moreover, taking the trace of (4.35) with respect to the orthonormal basis (4.11) and using (3.20), (4.8) and (4.10), we can find

$$trA = \lambda + \frac{\rho(n-1)}{2}, \quad (4.36)$$

$$trA^2 = \frac{(n-1)\rho(\lambda-\rho)}{2} - \lambda^2 + \frac{(n-1)(c+1)}{4}, \quad (4.37)$$

Substituting (4.33), (4.34) and (4.36) into (4.32) and taking account of (3.20), (4.8), (4.10), (4.35) and since $c = -1$, we can see that

$$(FX)(\rho) = 0.$$

Thus we have for all $X \in D$

$$X(\rho) = 0. \tag{4.38}$$

Hence (4.38) with (4.29) and (4.31) completes the proof. \square

Lemma 4.7. *Let M be an $(n + 1)$ -dimensional contact CR-submanifold of $(n - 1)$ contact CR-dimension in the Kenmotsu space form $\overline{M}(c)$. If the equality (4.1) holds on M for a non-zero normal vector field ρ to M , then the shape operator A has one eigenvalues $\lambda = 0$ of multiplicities $n + 1$ or 2 eigenvalues $0, \lambda$ of multiplicities 1 and n , or 4 eigenvalues*

$$0, \quad \lambda, \quad \frac{\rho - \sqrt{\rho^2 - 2\lambda\rho}}{2}, \quad \frac{\rho + \sqrt{\rho^2 - 2\lambda\rho}}{2}$$

of multiplicities 1, 1, $\frac{n-1}{2}$ and $\frac{n-1}{2}$, respectively. Moreover, if A has exactly 2 eigenvalues $0, \lambda$, then the eigenvalue α corresponding to an eigenvector of A , orthogonal to U and ξ , satisfies $\alpha = \lambda = \rho/2$ and vice-versa.

Proof. If $\lambda = 0$, the relation (4.30) implies that $\alpha_i = 0$. Otherwise, since $\lambda \neq 0$ from (4.30) the shape operator A has 2 eigenvalues $0, \lambda$ of multiplicities 1 and n , or 4 constant eigenvalues

$$0, \quad \lambda, \quad \frac{\rho - \sqrt{\rho^2 - 2\lambda\rho}}{2}, \quad \frac{\rho + \sqrt{\rho^2 - 2\lambda\rho}}{2}$$

whose multiplicities are 1, 1, $\frac{n-1}{2}$ and $\frac{n-1}{2}$, respectively, with the help of (3.20) and (4.8). Moreover, if A has exactly 2 eigenvalues 0 and λ , then $\alpha = \lambda = \rho/2$. \square

Therefore we have one of the main result.

Theorem 4.8. *Let M be a CR-submanifold of $(n - 1)$ contact CR-dimension in the Kenmotsu space form $\overline{M}^{2n+1}(-1)$. If, for any vector fields X, Y tangent to M , the equality (4.1) holds on M for a non-zero normal vector field ρ to M and the shape operator A of M has exactly one eigenvalue, then M is totally geodesic submanifold.*

Let's assume now that A has exactly two distinct the eigenvalues. From lemma 4.7, we put

$$T_\lambda = \{X \in TM | AX = \lambda X\} = D \oplus \mathbb{R}U.$$

Then, we get the distributions T_λ .

Lemma 4.9. *The distributions T_λ is involutive.*

Proof. Let us choose $X, Y \in T_\lambda$ and using (3.19), we have

$$g(\nabla_X Y, \xi) = -g(X, Y),$$

therefore

$$g([X, Y], \xi) = 0. \quad (4.39)$$

Now, for $X, U \in T_\lambda$ and using (3.18), we have

$$g(\nabla_X U, \xi) = -u(X).$$

Also, from the Codazzi equation, proposition 4.3, proposition 4.6 and (4.23), we get

$$g(\nabla_U X, \xi) = -u(X),$$

therefore

$$g([X, U], \xi) = 0. \quad (4.40)$$

With selection $X, Y \in D$ and using (4.23), (4.26) and the Codazzi equation, it follows that

$$0 = (\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_Y A)X = \lambda \nabla_X Y - A \nabla_X Y - \lambda \nabla_Y X + A \nabla_Y X,$$

so

$$g([X, Y], \xi) = \frac{1}{\lambda} g(A \nabla_X Y - A \nabla_Y X, \xi) = 0. \quad (4.41)$$

Relations (4.39), (4.40) and (4.41) imply that, for all $X, Y \in T_\lambda$, we have

$$g([X, Y], \xi) = 0.$$

Hence, $[X, Y] \in T_\lambda$. This shows that the distribution T_λ is involutive. \square

Now we consider the integral submanifolds M_λ for the distributions T_λ in M and we consider the integral curve of the vector field ξ and show it $C(t)$. In other words $C'(t) = \xi$. Hence the following theorem holds:

Theorem 4.10. *Let M be a CR-submanifold of $(n-1)$ contact CR-dimension in the Kenmotsu space form $\overline{M}^{2n+1}(-1)$. If, for any vector fields X, Y tangent to M , the equality (4.1) holds on M for a non-zero normal vector field ρ to M and the shape operator A of M has exactly two eigenvalues, then M is locally isometric to a product of $C \times M_\lambda$, which C is a geodesic curve and M_λ is submanifold of M .*

Let's assume now that A has exactly four distinct the eigenvalues

$$0, \quad \lambda, \quad \alpha = \frac{\rho - \sqrt{\rho^2 - 2\lambda\rho}}{2}, \quad \beta = \frac{\rho + \sqrt{\rho^2 - 2\lambda\rho}}{2}.$$

For eigenvalues of A , we put

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= D_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}\xi = \{X \in D | AX = \alpha X\} \oplus \mathbb{R}\xi, \\ T_2 &= D_2 \oplus \mathbb{R}U = \{X \in D | AX = \beta X\} \oplus \mathbb{R}U. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we get two distributions T_1 and T_2 .

Also, from lemma 4.7 we have $\alpha + \beta = \rho$ and for the vector field X on M , if we have $AX = \alpha X$, from (4.2) we have $AFX = \beta FX$. So that D_1 and D_2 is F -anti-invariant subspace.

Lemma 4.11. *The distributions T_1 and T_2 are both involutive.*

Proof. By choosing $X, Y \in T_1$ and $U \in T_2$. Then, using (3.18), we have

$$g(\nabla_X Y, U) = 0,$$

therefore

$$g([X, Y], U) = 0. \tag{4.42}$$

Now, for $X, \xi \in T_1$ and $Z \in T_2$. Then, using (3.19), we have

$$g(\nabla_X \xi, Z) = 0.$$

Also, from the Codazzi equation, proposition 4.3, proposition 4.6 and (4.23), we get

$$g(\nabla_\xi X, Z) = 0,$$

therefore

$$g([X, \xi], Z) = 0. \tag{4.43}$$

With selection $X, Y \in D_1$ and $Z \in D_2$ and using (4.23), (4.26) and the Codazzi equation, it follows that

$$0 = (\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_Y A)X = \alpha \nabla_X Y - A \nabla_X Y - \alpha \nabla_Y X + A \nabla_Y X,$$

so

$$g([X, Y], Z) = \frac{1}{\alpha} g(A \nabla_X Y - A \nabla_Y X, Z) = 0. \tag{4.44}$$

Relations (4.42), (4.43) and (4.44) imply that, for all $X, Y \in T_1$ and $Z \in T_2$, we have

$$g([X, Y], Z) = 0.$$

Hence, $[X, Y] \in T_1$. This shows that the distribution T_1 is involutive. In entirely the same way, we prove that T_2 is involutive. \square

Now we consider the integral submanifolds M_1 and M_2 respectively for the distributions T_1 and T_2 in M . So that M_1 and M_2 is F -anti-invariant submanifolds.

Thus we have one of the main result.

Theorem 4.12. *Let M be a CR-submanifold of $(n-1)$ contact CR-dimension in the Kenmotsu space form $\overline{M}^{2n+1}(-1)$. If, for any vector fields X, Y tangent to M , the equality (4.1) holds on M for a non-zero normal vector field ρ to M and the shape operator A of M has exactly four eigenvalues, then M is locally isometric to a product $M_1 \times M_2$, where M_1 and M_2 are F -anti-invariant submanifolds in M .*

REFERENCES

1. A. Bejancu, *CR-submanifolds of Kaehler Manifold I*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **69**(1978), 135-142.
2. A. Bejancu, *Geometry of CR-submanifolds*, D. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht, Boston, Lancaster, Tokyo, 1986.
3. D. E. Blair,, *Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 509, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
4. M. Djoric and M. Okumura, *Certain CR submanifolds of maximal CR dimension of complex space forms*, Diff. Geom. and App. **26**(2008), 208-217.
5. M. Djoric and M. Okumura, *CR Submanifolds of Complex Projective Space*, Developments in Mathematics, Vol. 19, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2010.
6. M. Ilmakchi, *CR Maximal Dimensional Submanifolds of Kenmotsu Space Forms*, Vietnam J. Math., **50**(2022), 171–181.
7. M. Ilmakchi and E. Abedi, *Contact CR Submanifolds of maximal contact CR dimension of Sasakian Space Form*, Mathematical Researches (Sci. Kharazmi University), **6**(2020), 1-12.
8. K. Kenmotsu, *A class of almost contact Riemannian manifolds*, Tohoku Math. J. **24**(1972), 93-103.
9. H. S. Kim, D. K. Choi and J. S. Pak, *Certain class of contact CR-submanifolds of a Sasakian space form*, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. **29**(2014), 131-140.
10. H. S. Kim and J. S. Pak, *Certain contact CR-submanifolds of an odd-dimensional unit sphere*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **44**(2007), 109-116.
11. H. S. Kim and J. S. Pak, *Certain class of contact CR-submanifolds of an odd-dimensional unit sphere*, Taiwanese J. Math. **14**(2010), 629-646.
12. S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry I*, Wiley and Sons Inc. New York-London, 1963.
13. K. Yano and M. Kon, *Structure on Manifold*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1984.

Received: 08.09.2024

Accepted: 07.12.2024