

On $C3$ -like Finsler spaces of relatively isotropic mean Landsberg curvature

Maryam Mirzazadeh^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Qom
Qom. Iran

E-mail: maryam.mzh990.mm@gmail.com

Abstract. In this paper, we study the class of $C3$ -like Finsler metrics with relatively isotropic mean Landsberg. We find some conditions under which these metrics reduce to relatively isotropic Landsberg metrics.

Keywords: Relatively isotropic mean Landsberg metric, relatively isotropic Landsberg metric.

1. Introduction

There are some interesting special forms of Cartan torsion and Landsberg tensor which have been obtained by some Finslerians [2][4][13][15]. The Finsler spaces having such special forms have been called C-reducible, semi-C-reducible, C2-Like, L-reducible (or P-reducible), general relatively isotropic Landsberg, and etc [5][6]. Let us remark the notion of Cartan torsion and Landsberg tensor. For a Finsler manifold (M, F) , the second derivatives of $\frac{1}{2}F_x^2$ at $y \in T_xM_0$ is an inner product \mathbf{g}_y on T_xM . The third order derivatives of $\frac{1}{2}F_x^2$ at $y \in T_xM_0$ is a symmetric trilinear forms \mathbf{C}_y on T_xM . We call \mathbf{g}_y and \mathbf{C}_y the fundamental form and the Cartan torsion, respectively. In [4], Matsumoto introduced the notion of C-reducible Finsler metrics and proved that any Randers metric is C-reducible. Later on, Matsumoto-Höjō proves that the converse is true too [1]. A Randers metric $F = \alpha + \beta$ is just a Riemannian metric α perturbed by a one form β , which has important applications both in mathematics and physics

[14]. The rate of change of \mathbf{C}_y along geodesics is the Landsberg curvature \mathbf{L}_y on T_xM for any $y \in T_xM_0$. F is said to be Landsbergian if $\mathbf{L} = 0$.

In [10], Prasad-Singh by considering the special form of Cartan torsion of 3-dimensional Finsler spaces introduced a new class of Finsler spaces named by $C3$ -like spaces which contains the class of semi-C-reducible spaces, as special case (see [7], [8], [9]). A Finsler metric F on a manifold M of dimension $n \geq 3$ is called $C3$ -like if its Cartan tensor is given by

$$C_{ijk} = \left\{ a_i h_{jk} + a_j h_{ki} + a_k h_{ij} \right\} + \left\{ b_i I_j I_k + I_i b_j I_k + I_i I_j b_k \right\}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $a_i = a_i(x, y)$ and $b_i = b_i(x, y)$ are homogeneous scalar functions on TM of degree -1 and 1, respectively. We have some special cases as follows:

(1) If $a_i = 0$, then we have

$$C_{ijk} = \left\{ b_i I_j I_k + I_i b_j I_k + I_i I_j b_k \right\}.$$

Contracting it with g^{ij} implies that

$$b_i = \frac{1}{3\|\mathbf{I}\|^2} I_i.$$

Then F is a $C2$ -like metric;

(2) If $b_i = 0$, then we have

$$C_{ijk} = \left\{ a_i h_{jk} + a_j h_{ki} + a_k h_{ij} \right\}.$$

Contracting it with g^{ij} implies that

$$a_i = \frac{1}{n+1} I_i.$$

Then F is a C-reducible metric;

(3) Let us put

$$a_i = \frac{p}{n+1} I_i, \quad b_i = \frac{q}{3\|\mathbf{I}\|^2} I_i,$$

where $p = p(x, y)$ and $q = q(x, y)$ are scalar functions on TM . In this case, F reduces to a semi-C-reducible metric.

It is remarkable that, in [2] Matsumoto-Shibata introduced the notion of semi-C-reducibility and proved that every non-Riemannian (α, β) -metric on a manifold M of dimension $n \geq 3$ is semi-C-reducible. Therefore the study of the class of $C3$ -like Finsler spaces will enhance our understanding of the geometric meaning of (α, β) -metrics.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M, F) be an n -dimensional $C3$ -like Finsler manifold $n \geq 3$ such that $b_i = b_i(x, y)$ is constant along Finslerian geodesics. Suppose that one of the following holds:*

- : (i) $\mathfrak{J} = -1/2$;
- : (ii) $a'_i = 2ca_i$;

where $\mathfrak{J} := b_m F^m$ and $a'_i = a_{i|j} y^j$. Then F is isotropic mean Landsberg metric $\mathbf{J} = c\mathbf{FI}$ if and only if it is isotropic Landsberg metric $\mathbf{L} = c\mathbf{FC}$.

2. Preliminaries

Let M be a n -dimensional C^∞ manifold. Denote by $T_x M$ the tangent space at $x \in M$, and by $TM = \cup_{x \in M} T_x M$ the tangent bundle of M .

A Finsler metric on M is a function $F : TM \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ which has the following properties:

- (i) F is C^∞ on $TM_0 := TM \setminus \{0\}$;
- (ii) F is positively 1-homogeneous on the fibers of tangent bundle TM ,
- (iii) for each $y \in T_x M$, the following quadratic form \mathbf{g}_y on $T_x M$ is positive definite,

$$\mathbf{g}_y(u, v) := \frac{1}{2} [F^2(y + su + tv)]|_{s,t=0}, \quad u, v \in T_x M.$$

Let $x \in M$ and $F_x := F|_{T_x M}$. To measure the non-Euclidean feature of F_x , define $\mathbf{C}_y : T_x M \otimes T_x M \otimes T_x M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathbf{C}_y(u, v, w) := \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} [\mathbf{g}_{y+tw}(u, v)]|_{t=0}, \quad u, v, w \in T_x M.$$

The family $\mathbf{C} := \{\mathbf{C}_y\}_{y \in TM_0}$ is called the Cartan torsion. It is well known that $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{0}$ if and only if F is Riemannian.

For $y \in T_x M_0$, define mean Cartan torsion \mathbf{I}_y by $\mathbf{I}_y(u) := I_i(y)u^i$, where

$$I_i := g^{jk} C_{ijk}.$$

Here, $u = u^i \partial / \partial x^i|_x$. By Diecke Theorem, F is Riemannian if and only if $\mathbf{I}_y = 0$.

For $y \in T_x M_0$, define the Matsumoto torsion $\mathbf{M}_y : T_x M \otimes T_x M \otimes T_x M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\mathbf{M}_y(u, v, w) := M_{ijk}(y)u^i v^j w^k$ where

$$M_{ijk} := C_{ijk} - \frac{1}{n+1} \{I_i h_{jk} + I_j h_{ik} + I_k h_{ij}\},$$

and $h_{ij} := F F_{y^i y^j} = g_{ij} - \frac{1}{F^2} g_{ip} y^p g_{jq} y^q$ is the angular metric. A Finsler metric F is said to be C-reducible if $\mathbf{M}_y = 0$. This quantity is introduced by Matsumoto [4]. Matsumoto proves that every Randers metric satisfies that $\mathbf{M}_y = 0$. A Randers metric $F = \alpha + \beta$ on a manifold M is just a Riemannian metric $\alpha = \sqrt{a_{ij} y^i y^j}$ perturbed by a one form $\beta = b_i(x) y^i$ on M such that $\|\beta\|_\alpha < 1$. Later on, Matsumoto-Hōjō proves that the converse is true too.

Lemma 2.1. ([1]) A Finsler metric F on a manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ is a Randers metric if and only if $\mathbf{M}_y = 0, \forall y \in TM_0$.

A Finsler metric is called semi-C-reducible if its Cartan tensor is given by

$$C_{ijk} = \frac{p}{1+n} \{h_{ij}I_k + h_{jk}I_i + h_{ki}I_j\} + \frac{q}{C^2} I_i I_j I_k,$$

where $p = p(x, y)$ and $q = q(x, y)$ are scalar function on TM and $C^2 = I^i I_i$. Multiplying the definition of semi-C-reducibility with g^{jk} shows that p and q must satisfy $p + q = 1$. If $p = 0$, then F is called C^2 -like metric. In [2], Matsumoto and Shibata proved that every (α, β) -metric is semi-C-reducible. Let us remark that an (α, β) -metric is a Finsler metric on M defined by $F := \alpha\phi(s)$, where $s = \beta/\alpha$, $\phi = \phi(s)$ is a C^∞ function on the $(-b_0, b_0)$ with certain regularity, α is a Riemannian metric and β is a 1-form on M [3].

Theorem 2.2. ([2][3]) Let $F = \phi(\frac{\beta}{\alpha})\alpha$ be a non-Riemannian (α, β) -metric on a manifold M of dimension $n \geq 3$. Then F is semi-C-reducible.

The horizontal covariant derivatives of \mathbf{C} along geodesics give rise to the Landsberg curvature $\mathbf{L}_y : T_x M \otimes T_x M \otimes T_x M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\mathbf{L}_y(u, v, w) := L_{ijk}(y)u^i v^j w^k,$$

where $L_{ijk} := C_{ijk|s}y^s$, $u = u^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}|_x$, $v = v^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}|_x$ and $w = w^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}|_x$. The family $\mathbf{L} := \{\mathbf{L}_y\}_{y \in TM_0}$ is called the Landsberg curvature. A Finsler metric is called a Landsberg metric if $\mathbf{L} = 0$.

There are many connections in Finsler geometry [11][12]. In this paper, we use the Berwald connection and the h - and v - covariant derivatives of a Finsler tensor field are denoted by “ $|$ ” and “ $,$ ” respectively.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we are going to prove Theorem 1.1. For this aim, we need the following.

Lemma 3.1. *Let (M, F) be an n -dimensional C^3 -like Finsler manifold $n \geq 3$. Suppose that F is not Riemannian. Then the following hold:*

$$a_i(x, y)y^i = 0, \quad b_i(x, y)y^i = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. F is C^3 -like metric

$$C_{ijk} = \left\{ a_i h_{jk} + a_j h_{ki} + a_k h_{ij} \right\} + \left\{ b_i I_j I_k + I_i b_j I_k + I_i I_j b_k \right\}, \quad (3.2)$$

where $a_i = a_i(x, y)$ and $b_i = b_i(x, y)$ are scalar functions on TM . Multiplying (3.2) with g^{ij} implies that

$$I_i = a_i h_{jk} + b_i I_j I_k. \quad (3.3)$$

Contracting (3.2) with y^i yields

$$a_i y^i h_{jk} + b_i y^i I_j I_k = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Multiplying (3.4) with g^{jk} gives us

$$(n-1)a_i y^i + \|\mathbf{I}\|^2 b_i y^i = 0, \quad (3.5)$$

which by considering the assumption $\|\mathbf{I}\| \neq 0$ is equal to

$$b_i y^i = -\frac{1}{\|\mathbf{I}\|^2} (n-1)a_i y^i. \quad (3.6)$$

Putting (3.6) in (3.4) implies

$$\left[h_{jk} - \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{I}\|^2} (n-1)I_j I_k \right] a_i y^i = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

By contracting (3.7) with I^j and using

$$h_{jk} I^j = I_k$$

we get

$$(n-2)a_i y^i I_k = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

Since F is not Riemannian and $n \geq 3$, then (3.8) gives us

$$a_i y^i = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

Putting (3.9) in (3.6) yields

$$b_i y^i = 0. \quad (3.10)$$

This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let (M, F) be a C3-like Finsler manifold. Suppose that $b_i = b_i(x, y)$ is constant along Finslerian geodesics and $I^m b_m = -1/2$. Then F is isotropic mean Landsberg metric if and only if it is isotropic Landsberg metric.*

Proof. F is C3-like metric

$$C_{ijk} = \left\{ a_i h_{jk} + a_j h_{ki} + a_k h_{ij} \right\} + \left\{ b_i I_j I_k + I_i b_j I_k + I_i I_j b_k \right\}, \quad (3.11)$$

where $a_i = a_i(x, y)$ and $b_i = b_i(x, y)$ are scalar functions on TM . Multiplying (3.11) with g^{ij} implies that

$$a_i = \frac{1}{n+1} \left\{ (1-2\mathfrak{J})I_i - \|\mathbf{I}\|^2 b_i \right\}, \quad (3.12)$$

where $\mathfrak{J} := b_m I^m$ and $\|\mathbf{I}\|^2 := I_m I^m$. By plugging (3.12) in (3.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} C_{ijk} &= \frac{1}{n+1} \left\{ I_i h_{jk} + I_j h_{ki} + I_k h_{ij} \right\} - \frac{2\mathfrak{J}}{n+1} \left\{ I_i h_{jk} + I_j h_{ki} + I_k h_{ij} \right\} \\ &\quad - \frac{\|\mathbf{I}\|^2}{n+1} \left\{ b_i h_{jk} + b_j h_{ki} + b_k h_{ij} \right\} + \left\{ b_i I_j I_k + I_i b_j I_k + I_i I_j b_k \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} M_{ijk} &= -\frac{2\mathfrak{J}}{n+1} \left\{ I_i h_{jk} + I_j h_{ki} + I_k h_{ij} \right\} - \frac{\|\mathbf{I}\|^2}{n+1} \left\{ b_i h_{jk} + b_j h_{ki} + b_k h_{ij} \right\} \\ &\quad + \left\{ b_i I_j I_k + I_i b_j I_k + I_i I_j b_k \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

By taking a horizontal derivation of (3.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{M}_{ijk} = & -\frac{2}{n+1}(J^m b_m + I^m b'_m) \{I_i h_{jk} + I_j h_{ki} + I_k h_{ij}\} \\
& -\frac{2\mathfrak{J}}{n+1} \{J_i h_{jk} + J_j h_{ki} + J_k h_{ij}\} - \frac{\|\mathbf{I}\|^2}{n+1} \{b'_i h_{jk} + b'_j h_{ki} + b'_k h_{ij}\} \\
& -\frac{1}{n+1}(J^m I_m + I^m J_m) \{b_i h_{jk} + b_j h_{ki} + b_k h_{ij}\} \\
& + \{b_i J_j I_k + b_i I_j J_k + b_j J_i I_k + b_j I_i J_k + b_k J_i I_j + b_k I_i J_j\} \\
& + \{b'_i I_j I_k + b'_j I_i I_k + b'_k I_i I_j\}, \tag{3.15}
\end{aligned}$$

where $b'_i = b_{i|s} y^s$ and

$$\widetilde{M}_{ijk} = L_{ijk} - \frac{1}{n+1} \{J_i h_{jk} + J_j h_{ki} + J_k h_{ij}\}.$$

Let $b'_i = 0$. Then (3.15) reduces to following

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{ijk} = & \frac{1}{n+1} \{J_i h_{jk} + J_j h_{ki} + J_k h_{ij}\} - \frac{2\mathfrak{J}}{n+1} \{I_i h_{jk} + I_j h_{ki} + I_k h_{ij}\} \\
& -\frac{2}{n+1} \{J_i h_{jk} + J_j h_{ki} + J_k h_{ij}\} b_m I^m \\
& -\frac{1}{n+1} (J^m I_m + I^m J_m) \{b_i h_{jk} + b_j h_{ki} + b_k h_{ij}\} \\
& + \{b_i J_j I_k + b_i I_j J_k + b_j J_i I_k + b_j I_i J_k + b_k J_i I_j + b_k I_i J_j\} \tag{3.16}
\end{aligned}$$

Let F is isotropic mean Landsberg metric

$$\mathbf{J} = cF\mathbf{I},$$

where $c = c(x)$ is a scalar function on M . Then (3.16) became as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{ijk} = & \frac{cF}{n+1} \{I_i h_{jk} + I_j h_{ki} + I_k h_{ij}\} - \frac{4cF\mathfrak{J}}{n+1} \{I_i h_{jk} + I_j h_{ki} + I_k h_{ij}\} \\
& -\frac{2cF\|\mathbf{I}\|^2}{n+1} \{b_i h_{jk} + b_j h_{ki} + b_k h_{ij}\} \\
& + 2cF \{b_i I_j I_k + I_i b_j I_k + I_i I_j b_k\}. \tag{3.17}
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.13) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\{b_i I_j I_k + I_i b_j I_k + I_i I_j b_k\} = & C_{ijk} - \frac{1}{n+1} \{I_i h_{jk} + I_j h_{ki} + I_k h_{ij}\} \\
& + \frac{\mathfrak{J}}{n+1} \{I_i h_{jk} + I_j h_{ki} + I_k h_{ij}\} \\
& + \frac{\|\mathbf{I}\|^2}{n+1} \{b_i h_{jk} + b_j h_{ki} + b_k h_{ij}\} \tag{3.18}
\end{aligned}$$

Putting (3.18) in (3.17) yields

$$L_{ijk} = 2cFC_{ijk} - \frac{cF(1+2\mathfrak{J})}{n+1} \{I_i h_{jk} + I_j h_{ki} + I_k h_{ij}\}. \quad (3.19)$$

Since $\mathfrak{J} = -1/2$, then (3.19) reduces to $L_{ijk} = 2cFC_{ijk}$. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let (M, F) be a C3-like Finsler manifold, such that $b_i = b_i(x, y)$ is constant along Finslerian geodesics and $a'_i = 2ca_i$. Then F is isotropic mean Landsberg metric $\mathbf{J} = cF\mathbf{I}$ if and only if it is isotropic Landsberg metric $\mathbf{L} = cF\mathbf{C}$.*

Proof. Let F be a C3-like metric

$$C_{ijk} = \{a_i h_{jk} + a_j h_{ki} + a_k h_{ij}\} + \{b_i I_j I_k + I_i b_j I_k + I_i I_j b_k\}, \quad (3.20)$$

By taking a horizontal derivation of (3.20), we get

$$L_{ijk} = \{a'_i h_{jk} + a'_j h_{ki} + a'_k h_{ij}\} + \{b'_i I_j I_k + b'_j I_i I_k + b'_k I_i I_j\} + \{b_i J_j I_k + b_i I_j J_k + b_j J_i I_k + b_j I_i J_k + b_k J_i I_j + b_k I_i J_j\}. \quad (3.21)$$

Let F is isotropic mean Landsberg metric $J = cFI$. Then (3.21) became as follows

$$L_{ijk} = \{a'_i h_{jk} + a'_j h_{ki} + a'_k h_{ij}\} + \{b'_i I_j I_k + b'_j I_i I_k + b'_k I_i I_j\} + 2cF \{b_i I_j I_k + I_i b_j I_k + I_i I_j b_k\}. \quad (3.22)$$

By (3.20) we have

$$\{b_i I_j I_k + I_i b_j I_k + I_i I_j b_k\} = C_{ijk} - \{a_i h_{jk} + a_j h_{ki} + a_k h_{ij}\}. \quad (3.23)$$

Putting (3.23) in (3.22) yields

$$L_{ijk} = 2cFC_{ijk} + \{(a'_i - 2ca_i)h_{jk} + (a'_j - 2ca_j)h_{ki} + (a'_k - 2ca_k)h_{ij}\} + \{b'_i I_j I_k + b'_j I_i I_k + b'_k I_i I_j\}. \quad (3.24)$$

Since $b'_i = 0$ and $a'_i = 2ca_i$, then (3.24) reduces to

$$L_{ijk} = 2cFC_{ijk}. \quad (3.25)$$

This completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1: By Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, we get the proof. □

REFERENCES

1. M. Matsumoto and S. Hōjō, *A conclusive theorem for C-reducible Finsler spaces*, Tensor. N. S. **32**(1978), 225-230.
2. M. Matsumoto and C. Shibata, *On semi-C-reducibility, T-tensor and S4-likeness of Finsler spaces*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **19**(1979), 301-314.
3. M. Matsumoto, *Theory of Finsler spaces with (α, β) -metric*, Rep. Math. Phys. **31**(1992), 43-84.
4. M. Matsumoto, *On Finsler spaces with Randers metric and special forms of important tensors*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **14**(1974), 477-498.
5. B. Najafi, A. Tayebi and M.M. Rezaei, *On general relatively isotropic L-curvature Finsler metrics*, Iran. J. Sci. Tech. Trans. A, **29**(2005), 357-366.
6. B. Najafi, A. Tayebi and M. M. Rezaei, *On general relatively isotropic mean Landsberg metrics*, Iran. J. Sci. Tech. Trans. A, **29**(2005), 497-505.
7. S. K. Narasimhamurthy, S. T. Aveesh and P. Kumar, *On v-curvature tensor of C3-like conformal Finsler spaces*, Acta Univ. Sapientiae, Math. **2**(2009), 101-108.
8. H.D. Pande, P.N. Tripathi and B.N. Prasad, *On a special form of the hv-curvature tensor of Berwald's connection B of Finsler space*, Indian. J. Pure. Appl. Math. **25**(1994), 1275-1280.
9. C. M. Prasad and O. P. Dube, *On T-tensor and v-curvature tensor of C3-like Finsler spaces*, Indian J.Pure. Appl. Math, **23**(1992), 791-795.
10. B. N. Prasad and J. N. Singh, *On C3-like Finsler spaces*, Indian. J. Pure. Appl. Math, **19**(1988), 423-428.
11. A. Tayebi, E. Azizpour and E. Esrafilian, *On a family of connections in Finsler geometry*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, **72**(2008), 1-15.
12. A. Tayebi and B. Najafi, *Shen's Process on Finslerian Connections*, Bull. Iran. Math. Society. **36**(2) (2010), 57-73.
13. A. Tayebi and E. Peyghan, *Finsler Metrics with Special Landsberg Curvature*, Iran. J. Sci. Tech. Trans A2, Vol. 33, No. A3, (2009), 241-248.
14. A. Tayebi and E. Peyghan, *On Ricci tensors of Randers metrics*, J. Geom. Phys. **60**(2010), 1665-1670.
15. A. Tayebi and E. Peyghan, *Special Berwald Metrics*, Symmetry. Int. Geom. Meth. Appl. **6**(2010), 008.

Received: 07.04.2024

Accepted: 07.05.2024