Journal of Finsler Geometry and its Applications Vol. 6, No. 1 (2025), pp 92-102 https://doi.org/10.22098/jfga.2025.16892.1148

On tangent sphere bundles with contact pseudo-metric structures

Narges Ghaffarzadeh^a and Morteza Faghfouri^{a*} \bigcirc

^aDepartment of Pure Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran.

E-mail: n.ghaffarzadeh@tabrizu.ac.ir E-mail: faghfouri@tabrizu.ac.ir

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce a contact pseudo-metric structure on a tangent sphere bundle $T_{\varepsilon}M$. We prove that the tangent sphere bundle $T_{\varepsilon}M$ is (κ, μ) -contact pseudo-metric manifold if and only if the manifold M is of constant sectional curvature. Also, we show that this structure on the tangent sphere bundle is K-contact if and only if the base manifold has constant curvature ε .

Keywords: Contact pseudo-metric structure, tangent sphere bundle, unit tangent sphere bundle, Sasaki pseudo-metric.

1. Introduction

In 1956, S. Sasaki [7] introduced a Riemannian metric on tangent bundle TMand tangent sphere bundle T_1M over a Riemannian manifold M. Thereafter, that metric was called the Sasaki metric. In 1962, Dombrowski [3] also showed at each $Z \in TM$, $TM_Z = HTM_Z \oplus VTM_Z$, where HTM_Z and VTM_Z orthogonal subspaces of dimension n, called horizontal and vertical distributions,

^{*}Corresponding Author

AMS 2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C15, 53C50, 53C07

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License.

Copyright © 2025 The Author(s). Published by University of Mohaghegh Ardabili

respectively. He defined an almost Kählerian structure on TM and proved that it is Kählerian manifold if M is flat. In the same year, Tachibana and Okumura [9] showed that the tangent bundle space TM of any non-flat Riemannian space M always admits an almost Kählerian structure, which is not Kählerian. Tashiro [11] introduced a contact metric structure on the unit tangent sphere bundle T_1M and prove that contact metric structure on T_1M is K-contact if and only if M has constant curvature 1, in which case the structure is Sasakian.

Kowalski [5] computed the curvature tensor of Sasaki metric. Thus, on T_1M , $R(X,Y)\xi$ can be computed by the formulas for the curvature of TM.

In [1], Blair et al. introduced (κ, μ) -contact Riemannian manifolds and proved that, the tangent sphere bundle T_1M is a (κ, μ) -contact Riemannian manifold if and only if the base manifold M is of constant sectional curvature c.

In [10], Takahashi introduced contact pseudo-metric structures (η, g) , where η is a contact one-form and g a pseudo-Riemannian metric associated to it. These structures are a natural generalization of contact metric structures. Recently, contact pseudo-metric manifolds have been studied by Calvaruso and Perrone [2, 6] and authors of this paper [4] introduced and studied (κ, μ) -contact pseudo-metric manifolds.

In this paper, we suppose that (M, g) is pseudo-metric manifold and define pseudo-metric on TM. Also, we introduce contact pseudo-metric structures (φ, ξ, η, G) on $T_{\varepsilon}M$ and prove that

$$\bar{R}(X,Y)\xi = c(2\varepsilon - c)\Big\{\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y\Big\} - 2c\Big\{\eta(Y)\mathbf{h}X - \eta(X)\mathbf{h}Y\Big\}$$

if and only if the base manifold M is of constant sectional curvature. That is, the tangent sphere bundle $T_{\varepsilon}M$ is a (κ, μ) -contact pseudo-metric manifold iff the base manifold M is of constant sectional curvature c. Also, the contact pseudo-metric structure (φ, ξ, η, G) on $T_{\varepsilon}M$ is K-contact if and only if the base manifold (M, g) has constant curvature ε .

2. Preliminaries

Let (M, g) be a pseudo-metric manifold, ∇ the associated Levi-Civita connection and $R = [\nabla, \nabla] - \nabla_{[,]}$ the curvature tensor. The tangent bundle of M, denoted by TM, consists of pairs (x, u), where $x \in M$ and $u \in T_x M$,(i.e., $TM = \bigcup_{x \in M} T_x M$). The mapping $\pi : TM \to M, \pi(x, u) = x$ is the natural projection and for all $(x, u) \in TM$, the connection map $\mathcal{K} : TTM \to TM$ is given by $\mathcal{K}(X_*u) = \nabla_u X$, where $X : M \to TM$ is a vector field on M [3].

The tangent space $T_{(x,u)}TM$ splits into the vertical subspace $VTM_{(x,u)}$ and the horizontal subspace $HTM_{(x,u)}$ are given by $VTM_{(x,u)} := \ker \pi_*|_{(x,u)}$ and $HTM_{(x,u)} := \ker \mathcal{K}|_{(x,u)}$:

$$T_{(x,u)}TM = VTM_{(x,u)} \oplus HTM_{(x,u)}.$$

For every $X \in T_x M$, there is a unique vector $X^h \in HTM_{(x,u)}$, such that

$$\pi_*(X^h) = X.$$

It is called the horizontal lift of X to (x, u). Also, there is a unique vector $X^{v} \in VTM_{(x,u)}$, such that

$$X^{v}(df) = Xf, \forall f \in C^{\infty}(M).$$

 X^v is called the vertical lift of X to (x, u). The maps $X \mapsto X^h$ between $T_x M$ and $HTM_{(x,u)}$, and $X \mapsto X^v$ between $T_x M$ and $VTM_{(x,u)}$ are isomorphisms. Hence, every tangent vector $\overline{Z} \in T_{(x,u)}TM$ can be decomposed $\overline{Z} = X^h + Y^v$ for uniquely determined vectors $X, Y \in T_x M$. The horizontal (respectively, vertical) lift of a vector field X on M to TM is the vector field X^h (respectively, X^v) on M, whose value at the point (x, u) is the horizontal (respectively, vertical) lift of X_x to (x, u).

A system of local coordinate (x^1, \ldots, x^n) on an open subset U of M induces on $\pi^{-1}(U)$ of TM a system of local coordinate $(\bar{x}^1, \ldots, \bar{x}^n; u^1, \ldots, u^n)$ as follows:

$$\begin{split} \bar{x}^i(x,u) &= (x^i \circ \pi)(x,u) = x^i(x) \\ u^i(x,u) &= dx^i(u) = ux^i \end{split}$$

for i = 1, ..., n and $(x, u) \in \pi^{-1}(U)$. With respect to the induced local coordinate system, the horizontal and vertical lifts of a vector field $X = X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ on U are given by

$$X^{h} = (X^{i} \circ \pi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^{i}} - u^{b} ((X^{a} \Gamma^{i}_{ab}) \circ \pi) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{i}}, \qquad (2.1)$$

$$X^{v} = (X^{i} \circ \pi) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{i}}, \qquad (2.2)$$

where Γ^i_{jk} are the local components of ∇ , i.e.,

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} = \Gamma^i_{jk}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}.$$

From (2.1) and (2.2), one can easily calculate the brackets of vertical and horizontal lifts:

$$[X^{h}, Y^{h}] = [X, Y]^{h} - v\{R(X, Y)u\},$$
(2.3)

$$[X^h, Y^v] = (\nabla_X Y)^v, \qquad (2.4)$$

$$[X^v, Y^v] = 0, (2.5)$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$. We use some notation, due to M. Sekizawa ([8]). Let T be a tensor field of type (1, s) on M and $X_1, \ldots, X_{s-1} \in \Gamma(TM)$, the vertical vector field $v\{T(X_1, \ldots, u, \ldots, X_{s-1})\}$ on $\pi^{-1}(U)$ is given by

$$v\{T(X_1,\ldots,u,\ldots,X_{s-1})\} := u^a(T(X_1,\ldots,\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a},\ldots,X_{s-1}))^v.$$

94

Analogously, one defines the horizontal vector field $h\{T(X_1, \ldots, u, \ldots, X_{s-1})\}$ and $h\{T(X_1, \ldots, u, \ldots, u, \ldots, X_{s-2})\}$ and the vertical vector field $v\{T(X_1, \ldots, u, \ldots, x_{s-2})\}$. Note that these vector fields do not depend on the choice of coordinates on U.

If f is a smooth function on M and X is a vector field on M, then

$$X^{n}(f \circ \pi) = (Xf) \circ \pi,$$

$$X^{v}(f \circ \pi) = 0.$$
(2.6)

In particular, we write $X = X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ on U, and then we have

$$X^{h}(\bar{x}^{i}) = X^{i} \circ \pi,$$

$$X^{v}(\bar{x}^{i}) = 0.$$
(2.7)

Further, from (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$X^{h}(u^{i}) = -u^{b}(X^{a}\Gamma^{i}_{ab}) \circ \pi,$$

$$X^{v}(u^{i}) = X^{i} \circ \pi.$$
(2.8)

Let (M, g) be a pseudo-metric manifold. On the tangent bundle TM, we can define a pseudo-metric \tilde{g} to be

$$\widetilde{g}(X^h, Y^h) = \widetilde{g}(X^v, Y^v) = g(X, Y) \circ \pi,
\widetilde{g}(X^h, Y^v) = 0$$
(2.9)

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$. We call it Sasaki pseudo-metric. According (2.9), If $\{E_1, \ldots, E_n\}$ is an orthonormal frame field on U then $\{E_1^v, \ldots, E_n^v, E_1^h, \ldots, E_n^h\}$ is an orthonormal frame field on $\pi^{-1}(U)$. So, we have the following:

Proposition 2.1. If the index of g is ν then the index of the Sasaki pseudometric \tilde{g} is 2ν .

Let ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection of \tilde{g} . It is easy to check that for $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $(x, u) \in TM$ (see [5] for more details):

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{X^{v}}Y^{v} = 0,$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{X^{v}}Y^{h} = \frac{1}{2}h\Big\{R(u,X)Y\Big\},$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{X^{h}}Y^{v} = (\nabla_{X}Y)^{v} + \frac{1}{2}h\Big\{R(u,Y)X\Big\},$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{X^{h}}Y^{h} = (\nabla_{X}Y)^{h} - \frac{1}{2}v\Big\{R(X,Y)u\Big\}.$$
(2.10)

3. The curvature of the unit tangent sphere bundle with pseudometric

Let (TM, \tilde{g}) be the tangent bundle of (M, g) endowed with its Sasaki pseudometric. We consider the hypersurface $T_{\varepsilon}M = \Big\{(x, u) \in TM | g_x(u, u) = \varepsilon\Big\},$ which we call the unit tangent sphere bundle. A unit normal vector field N on $T_{\varepsilon}M$ is the (vertical) vector field

$$N = u^i \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} = u^i (\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i})^v.$$

N is independent of the choice of local coordinates and it is defined globally on TM. We introduce some more notation. If $X \in T_x M$, we define the tangential lift of X to $(x, u) \in T_{\varepsilon} M$ by

$$X_{(x,u)}^{t} = X_{(x,u)}^{v} - \varepsilon g(X,u) N_{(x,u)}.$$
(3.1)

Clearly, the tangent space to $T_{\varepsilon}M$ at (x, u) is spanned by vectors of the form X^h and X^t , where $X \in T_x M$. Note that $u_{(x,u)}^t = 0$. The tangential lift of a vector field X on M to $T_{\varepsilon}M$ is the vertical vector field X^t on $T_{\varepsilon}M$, whose value at the point $(x, u) \in T_{\varepsilon}M$ is the tangential lift of X_x to (x, u). For a tensor field T of type (1, s) on M and $X_1, \ldots, X_{s-1} \in \Gamma(TM)$, we define the vertical vector fields $t\{T(X_1, \ldots, u, \ldots, X_{s-1})\}$ and $t\{T(X_1, \ldots, u, \ldots, X_{s-2})\}$ on $T_{\varepsilon}M$ in the obvious way.

In what follows, we will give explicit expressions for the brackets of vector fields on $T_{\varepsilon}M$ involving tangential lifts, the Levi-Civita connection and the associated curvature tensor of the induced metric \bar{g} on $T_{\varepsilon}M$.

First, for the brackets of vector fields on $T_{\varepsilon}M$ involving tangential lifts, we obtain

$$[X^h, Y^t] = (\nabla_X Y)^t, \tag{3.2}$$

$$[X^t, Y^t] = \varepsilon g(X, u) Y^t - \varepsilon g(Y, u) X^t.$$
(3.3)

Next, we denote by \overline{g} the pseudo-metric induced on $T_{\varepsilon}M$ from \widetilde{g} on TM as follows:

$$\bar{g}(X^h, Y^h) = g(X, Y),$$

$$\bar{g}(X^t, Y^t) = g(X, Y) - \varepsilon g(X, u)g(Y, u),$$

$$\bar{g}(X^h, Y^t) = 0$$
(3.4)

Proposition 3.1. The Levi-Civita connection $\overline{\nabla}$ of $(T_{\varepsilon}M, \overline{g})$ is described completely by

$$\bar{\nabla}_{X^t} Y^t = -\varepsilon g(Y, u) X^t,$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_{X^t} Y^h = \frac{1}{2} h\{R(u, X)Y\},$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_{X^h} Y^t = (\nabla_X Y)^t + \frac{1}{2} h\{R(u, Y)X\},$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_{X^h} Y^h = (\nabla_X Y)^h - \frac{1}{2} t\{R(X, Y)u\}$$
(3.5)

for all vector fields X and Y on M.

Proof. This is obtained by an easy calculation using (2.10) and the following equation

$$\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{A}}\bar{B} = \tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{A}}\bar{B} - \varepsilon \tilde{g}(\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{A}}\bar{B}, N)N,$$

for vector fields $\overline{A}, \overline{B}$ tangent to $T_{\varepsilon}M$.

Proposition 3.2. The curvature tensor \overline{R} of $(T_{\varepsilon}M, \overline{g})$ is described completely by

$$\bar{R}(X^t, Y^t)Z^t = \varepsilon \Big(-\bar{g}(X^t, Z^t)Y^t + \bar{g}(Z^t, Y^t)X^t \Big),$$
(3.6)

$$\bar{R}(X^{t}, Y^{t})Z^{h} = (R(X, Y)Z)^{h} - \varepsilon \Big(g(Y, u)h(R(X, u)Z) + g(X, u)h(R(u, Y)Z)\Big) + \frac{1}{4}h\Big\{[R(u, X), R(u, Y)]Z\Big\},$$
(3.7)

$$\bar{R}(X^{h}, Y^{t})Z^{t} = -\frac{1}{2}(R(Y, Z)X)^{h} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\Big(g(Y, u)h(R(u, Z)X) + g(Z, u)h(R(Y, u)X)\Big) -\frac{1}{4}h\Big\{R(u, Y)R(u, Z)X\Big\},$$
(3.8)

$$\bar{R}(X^{h}, Y^{t})Z^{h} = \frac{1}{2} \Big(R(X, Z)Y \Big)^{t} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} g(Y, u)t \Big\{ R(X, Z)u \Big\} - \frac{1}{4} t \Big\{ R(X, R(u, Y)Z)u \Big\} + \frac{1}{2} h \Big\{ (\nabla_{X}R)(u, Y)Z \Big\}, \quad (3.9) \bar{R}(X^{h}, Y^{h})Z^{t} = \Big(R(X, Y)Z \Big)^{t} - \varepsilon g(Z, u)t \Big\{ R(X, Y)u \Big\} + \frac{1}{4} t \Big\{ R(Y, R(u, Z)X)u - R(X, R(u, Z)Y)u \Big\} + \frac{1}{2} h \Big\{ (\nabla_{X}R)(u, Z)Y - (\nabla_{Y}R)(u, Z)X \Big\}, \quad (3.10) \bar{R}(X^{h}, Y^{h})Z^{h} = \Big(R(X, Y)Z \Big)^{h} + \frac{1}{2} h \Big\{ R(u, R(X, Y)u)Z \Big\} - \frac{1}{4} h \Big\{ R(u, R(Y, Z)u)X - R(u, R(X, Z)u)Y \Big\}$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}t\Big\{(\nabla_Z R)(X,Y)u\Big\}$$

for all vector fields X, Y and Z on M.

Proof. The proof is made by using the following equation and equation (3.5) for the covariant derivative, (2.3), (3.2) and (3.3) for the brackets are explicitly calculated.

$$\bar{R}(\bar{A},\bar{B})\bar{C} = \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{A}}\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{B}}\bar{C} - \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{B}}\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{A}}\bar{C} - \bar{\nabla}_{[\bar{A},\bar{B}]}\bar{C}.$$

4. The contact pseudo-metric structure of the unit tangent sphere bundle

First, we give some basic facts on contact pseudo-metric structures. A pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M^{2n+1},g) has a contact pseudo-metric structure

(3.11)

if it admits a vector field ξ , a one-form η and a (1, 1)-tensor field φ satisfying

$$\eta(\xi) = 1,$$

$$\varphi^{2}(X) = -X + \eta(X)\xi,$$

$$g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(X, Y) - \varepsilon \eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

$$d\eta(X, Y) = g(X, \varphi Y),$$

(4.1)

where $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ and $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$. In this case, $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is called a contact pseudo-metric manifold. In particular, the above conditions imply that the characteristic curves, i.e., the integral curves of the characteristic vector field ξ , are geodesics.

If ξ is in addition a Killing vector field with respect to g, then the manifold is said to be a K-contact (pseudo-metric) manifold. Another characterizing property of such contact pseudo-metric manifolds is the following:

geodesics which are orthogonal to ξ at one point, always remain orthogonal to ξ . This yields a second special class of geodesics, the φ -geodesics.

Next, if $(M^{2n+1}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is a contact pseudo-metric manifold satisfying the additional condition $N_{\varphi}(X, Y) + 2d\eta(X, Y)\xi = 0$ is said to be Sasakian, where

$$N_{\varphi}(X,Y) = \varphi^{2}[X,Y] + [\varphi X,\varphi Y] - \varphi[\varphi X,Y] - \varphi[X,\varphi Y]$$

is the Nijenhuis torsion tensor of φ .

A contact pseudo-metric structure is a Sasakian structure if and only if ${\cal R}$ satisfies

$$R(X,Y)\xi = \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y, \qquad (4.2)$$

In particular, one can show that the characteristic vector field ξ is a Killing vector field. Hence, a Sasakian manifold is also a *K*-contact manifold. In a contact pseudo-metric manifold $M^{2n+1}(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$, defined the (1, 1)-tensor field **h** by

$$\mathbf{h}X = \frac{1}{2}(L_{\xi}\varphi)(X),$$

where L denotes the Lie derivative. The tensors **h** is self-adjoint operator satisfying([2, 6])

$$\mathbf{h}\varphi = -\varphi\,\mathbf{h},\tag{4.3}$$

$$\mathbf{h}\boldsymbol{\xi} = 0, \tag{4.4}$$

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\varepsilon \varphi X - \varphi \, \mathbf{h} X. \tag{4.5}$$

(see [2, 6] for more details). If a contact pseudo-metric manifold satisfying

$$R(X,Y)\xi = \varepsilon\kappa\Big(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y\Big) + \varepsilon\mu\Big(\eta(Y)\mathbf{h}X - \eta(X)\mathbf{h}Y\Big),$$

we call (κ, μ) -contact pseudo-metric manifold, where $(\kappa, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. the (κ, μ) contact pseudo-metric manifold is Sasakian iff $\kappa = \varepsilon$ and hence $\mathbf{h} = 0$, by (4.2).
(see [4] for more details).

98

Take now an arbitrary pseudo-metric manifold (M, g). One can easily define an almost complex structure J on TM in the following way:

$$JX^h = X^v, \quad JX^v = -X^h \tag{4.6}$$

for all vector fields X on M. From (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5), we have the almost complex structure J is integrable if and only if (M, g) is flat. From the definition (2.9) of the pseudo-metric \tilde{g} on TM, it follows immediately that (TM, \tilde{g}, J) is almost Hermitian. Moreover, J defines an almost Kählerian structure. It is a Kähler manifold only when (M, g) is flat[3].

Next, we consider the unit tangent sphere bundle $(T_{\varepsilon}M, \bar{g})$, which is isometrically embedded as a hypersurface in (TM, \tilde{g}) with unit normal field N. Using the almost complex structure J on TM, we define a unit vector field ξ' , a one-form η' and a (1, 1)-tensor field φ' on $T_{\varepsilon}M$ by

$$\xi' = -JN, \quad JX = \varphi'X + \eta'(X)N. \tag{4.7}$$

In local coordinates, ξ' , η' and φ' are described by

$$\begin{aligned} \xi' &= u^i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right)^h, \\ \eta'(X^t) &= 0, \quad \eta'(X^h) = \varepsilon g(X, u), \\ \varphi'(X^t) &= -X^h + \varepsilon g(X, u)\xi', \\ \varphi'(X^h) &= X^t, \end{aligned}$$
(4.8)

where $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$. It is easily checked that these tensors satisfy the conditions (4.1) excepts or the last one, where we find $\varepsilon \bar{g}(X, \varphi'Y) = 2d\eta'(X, Y)$. So strictly speaking, $(\varphi', \xi', \eta', \bar{g})$ is not a contact pseudo-metric structure. Of course, the difficulty is easily rectified and

$$\eta = \frac{1}{2}\eta', \quad \xi = 2\xi', \quad \varphi = \varepsilon\varphi', \quad G = \frac{1}{4}\bar{g}$$

is taken as the standard contact pseudo-metric structure on $T_{\varepsilon}M$. In local coordinates, with respect to induce the local coordinates (x^i, u^i) on TM, the characteristic vector field is given by

$$\xi_{(x,u)} = 2u^i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right)^h = 2u^h.$$

By using (3.2) and (3.3), we have

$$L_{\xi}X^{h} = 2\Big(u^{i}[\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}, X]^{h} - v\{R(u, X)u\} + u^{b}X^{a}\Gamma^{i}_{ab}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}})^{h}\Big),$$

$$L_{\xi}X^{t} = 2\Big((\nabla_{u}X)^{t} - X^{h} + \varepsilon g(X, u)u^{h}\Big).$$
(4.9)

Before beginning our theorems, we explicitly obtain the covariant derivatives of ξ . For a horizontal tangent vector field, we may use a horizontal lift again. Then

$$\bar{\nabla}_{X^h}\xi = \tilde{\nabla}_{X^h}\xi = -v\Big\{R(X,u)u\Big\}$$

and hence for any horizontal vector X^h at $(x, u) \in T_{\varepsilon}M$, we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_{X^h}\xi = -v\{R(X,u)u\} = -t\{R(X,u)u\}.$$

For a vertical vector field X^v tangent to $T_{\varepsilon}M$, we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_{X^v}\xi = \tilde{\nabla}_{X^v}\xi = -2\varepsilon\varphi X^v - h\{R(X,u)u\}.$$

Since $J(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i})^h = (\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i})^v$, or in terms of tangential lifts of a vector X on M,

$$\bar{\nabla}_{X^t}\xi = -2\varepsilon\varphi X^t - h\{R(X,u)u\}.$$

Comparing with $\overline{\nabla}_X \xi = -\varepsilon \varphi X - \varphi \mathbf{h} X$ on $T_{\varepsilon} M$ for a vertical vector V and a horizontal vector X orthogonal to ξ , $\mathbf{h} V$ and $\mathbf{h} X$ are given by

$$\mathbf{h}V = \varepsilon V - \varepsilon v \{ R(\mathcal{K}V, u)u \} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{h}X = -\varepsilon X + \varepsilon h \{ R(\pi_*X, u)u \}.$$
(4.10)

Theorem 4.1. The tangent sphere bundle $T_{\varepsilon}M$ is (κ, μ) -contact pseudo-metric manifold if and only if the base manifold M is of constant sectional curvature c and $\kappa = \varepsilon c(2\varepsilon - c), \mu = -2\varepsilon c$.

Proof. Assume that the manifold M is a pseudo-metric manifold of constant curvature c. Then from equations (3.6-3.11), for X, Y orthogonal to ξ , we have $\overline{R}(X,Y)\xi = 0$ and for a vertical vector V, we get $\overline{R}(V,\xi)\xi = c^2V$ and also, for a horizontal vector X orthogonal to ξ , we obtain $\overline{R}(X,\xi)\xi = (4\varepsilon c - 3c^2)X$. Moreover, from equations (4.10),

$$\mathbf{h}V = (\varepsilon - c)V$$
 and $\mathbf{h}X = (c - \varepsilon)X.$ (4.11)

Thus for all X, Y on $T_{\varepsilon}M$, the curvature tensor on $T_{\varepsilon}M$ satisfies

$$\bar{R}(X,Y)\xi = c(2\varepsilon - c)\Big(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y\Big) - 2c\Big(\eta(Y)\mathbf{h}X - \eta(X)\mathbf{h}Y\Big). \quad (4.12)$$

Conversely, if the contact pseudo-metric structure on $T_{\varepsilon}M$ satisfies the condition

$$\bar{R}(X,Y)\xi = \varepsilon\kappa\Big(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y\Big) + \varepsilon\mu\Big(\eta(Y)\,\mathbf{h}X - \eta(X)\,\mathbf{h}Y\Big),$$

then

$$\bar{R}(X,\xi)\xi = \varepsilon\kappa X + \varepsilon\mu\,\mathbf{h}X,\tag{4.13}$$

for any X orthogonal to ξ . Now, for a vector u on M, that $g(u, u) = \varepsilon$ define a symmetric the Jacobi operator with respect to u, that is, $\psi_u : \langle u \rangle^{\perp} \to \langle u \rangle^{\perp}$ by

$$\psi_u X = R(X, u)u.$$

By placing the equation (4.10) in (4.13), we get

$$\bar{R}(V,\xi)\xi = (\varepsilon\kappa + \mu)V - \mu v\{\psi_u \mathcal{K}V\}.$$
(4.14)

Also using equations (3.6-3.11), we have

$$\bar{R}(V,\xi)\xi = -v\Big\{R(R(u,\mathcal{K}V)u,u)u\Big\} = v\Big\{\psi_u^2\mathcal{K}V\Big\}.$$
(4.15)

From a comparison of equations (4.14) and (4.15), the operator ψ_u satisfies the equation

$$\psi_u^2 + \mu \psi_u - (\varepsilon \kappa + \mu)I = 0. \tag{4.16}$$

In a similar way, for a horizontal X orthogonal to ξ ,

$$\overline{R}(X,\xi)\xi = (\varepsilon\kappa - \mu)X + \mu h\{\psi_u \pi_* X\}, \qquad (4.17)$$

and, from equations (3.6-3.11), we obtain

Ì

$$\bar{R}(X,\xi)\xi = h \Big\{ 4\psi_u \pi_* X - 3\psi_u^2 \pi_* X \Big\},$$
(4.18)

From a comparison of equations (4.17) and (4.18), we have

$$3\psi_u^2 + (\mu - 4)\psi_u + (\varepsilon \kappa - \mu)I = 0.$$
(4.19)

Since ψ_u is symmetric operator, then the eigenvalues a of ψ_u are real numbers and satisfy the quadratic equations

$$a^2 + \mu a - (\varepsilon \kappa + \mu) = 0, \qquad (4.20)$$

$$a^{2} + \frac{\mu - 4}{3}a + \frac{\varepsilon \kappa - \mu}{3} = 0.$$
(4.21)

According to the equations (4.16) and (4.19), the minimal polynomial of ψ_u divides the quadratic equations (4.20) and (4.21). Hence, the minimal polynomial of ψ_u has degree at most 2. If the minimal polynomial of ψ_u is of degree two, then ψ_u has two eigenvalues, therefore, $\mu = -2$ and $\kappa = \varepsilon$. Thus $a^2 + \mu a - (\varepsilon \kappa + \mu) = (a - 1)^2 = 0$ that is, a = 1. If the minimal polynomial of ψ_u is of degree one, then a is the only eigenvalue of ψ_u . Anyway, $a = -\frac{\mu}{2}$. Hence, we have

$$\psi_u X = R(X, u)u = -\frac{\mu}{2}X.$$

We suppose that g(X, X) = 1 and X orthogonal to u. Then

$$K(X,u) = \frac{g(R(X,u)u,X)}{g(u,u)g(X,X) - g(X,u)^2} = -\varepsilon \frac{\mu}{2},$$
(4.22)

where K(X, u) is the sectional curvatures of the nondegenerate plane $\{X, u\}$. Therefore, (M, g) is a space of constant curvature $c = -\frac{\varepsilon \mu}{2}$ and $\kappa = \varepsilon c(2\varepsilon - c)$.

We now have the following theorem about the K-contact structure.

Theorem 4.2. The contact pseudo-metric structure (φ, ξ, η, G) on $T_{\varepsilon}M$ is Kcontact if and only if the base manifold (M, g) has constant curvature ε , in which case the structure on $T_{\varepsilon}M$ is Sasakian.

Proof. We assume that the contact pseudo-metric structure (φ, ξ, η, G) on $T_{\varepsilon}M$ is K-contact. In this case, ξ is Killing vector field and equivalently $\mathbf{h} = 0$. By

using (4.10), for all $(x, u) \in T_{\varepsilon}M$ and for horizontal lift X^h of X orthogonal to u, we have

$$R(X,u)u = X. \tag{4.23}$$

We suppose that g(X, X) = 1. Then

$$K(X,u) = \frac{g(R(X,u)u, X)}{g(u,u)g(X,X) - g(X,u)^2} = \varepsilon.$$
(4.24)

Therefore, (M, g) is a space of constant curvature ε . Conversely, if M has constant curvature $c = \varepsilon$, by using (4.12), we have $\kappa = \varepsilon$, then $T_{\varepsilon}M$ is a Sasakian manifold. Hence M is K-contact.

References

- D. E. Blair, T. Koufogiorgos, and B. J. Papantoniou, Contact metric manifolds satisfying a nullity condition, Israel J. Math., 91(1995), 189–214.
- G. Calvaruso and D. Perrone, Contact pseudo-metric manifolds, Differ. Geom. Appl., 28(2010), 615–634.
- P. Dombrowski, On the geometry of the tangent bundle, J. Reine Angew. Math., 210(1962), 73–88.
- N. Ghaffarzadeh and M. Faghfouri, On contact pseudo-metric manifolds satisfying a nullity condition, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 497(2021), No. 124849, 16.
- O. Kowalski, Curvature of the induced Riemannian metric on the tangent bundle of a Riemannian manifold, J. Reine Angew. Math., 250(1971), 124–129.
- D. Perrone, Curvature of K-contact semi-Riemannian manifolds, Canad. Math. Bull., 57(2014), 401–412.
- S. Sasaki, On the differential geometry of tangent bundles of Riemannian manifolds, Tohoku Math. J. 10(1958), 338–354.
- M. Sekizawa, Curvatures of tangent bundles with Cheeger-Gromoll metric, Tokyo J. Math., 14(1991), 407–417.
- S.-i. Tachibana and M. Okumura, On the almost-complex structure of tangent bundles of Riemannian spaces, Tohoku Math. J. 14(1962), 156–161.
- T. Takahashi, Sasakian manifold with pseudo-Riemannian metric, Tôhoku Math. J. 21(1969), 271–290.
- Y. Tashiro, On contact structures of tangent sphere bundles, Tohoku Math. J. 21(1969), 117–143.

Received: 28.02.2025 Accepted: 28.03.2025

102