


Flag curvature of invariant 3-power metrics on homogeneous spaces

Milad L. Zeinali ,

University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, p.o.box. 5619911367, Ardabil-Iran.
E-mail: miladzeinali@gmail.com

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider invariant 3-power metric $F = (\alpha + \beta)^3/\alpha^2$ such that induced by invariant Riemannian metrics \tilde{a} and invariant vector fields \tilde{X} on homogeneous spaces. We give an explicit formula for the flag curvature of invariant 3-power metrics.

Keywords: 3-power (α, β) -metric, Flag curvature, Homogeneous space, Invariant 3-power metrics.

1. Introduction

Finsler geometry is a natural generalization of Riemannian geometry. A Riemannian metric is quadratic in the fiber coordinates y while a Finsler metric is not necessary be quadratic in y [15]. The geometry of invariant Finsler metrics on homogeneous manifolds is one of the interesting subjects in Finsler geometry which has been studied by some Finsler geometers (see [1, 4, 5, 6, 8, 11, 12]).

The flag curvature is a generalization of the sectional curvature of Riemannian geometry. Alternatively, flag curvatures can be treated as Jacobi endomorphisms. The flag curvature has also led to a pinching (sphere) theorem for Finsler metrics. Installing a flag on a Finsler manifold (M, F) implies choosing:

- (1) a basepoint $x \in M$ at which the flag will be planted,

AMS 2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C30, 53C60

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) International License.

Copyright © 2023 The Author(s). Published by University of Mohaghegh Ardabili

- (2) a flagpole given by a nonzero $y \in T_x M$, and
- (3) an edge $V \in T_x M$ transverse to the flagpole.

Note that the flagpole $y \neq 0$ singles out an inner product

$$g_y := g_{ij}(x, y) dx^i \otimes dx^j.$$

This g_y allows us to measure the angle between V and y . It also enables us to calculate the area of the parallelogram formed by V and $l := y/F(x, y)$.

The flag curvature is defined as

$$K(x, y, V) := \frac{V^i (y^j R_{j i k l} y^l) V^k}{g_y(y, y) g_y(V, V) - g_y^2(y, V)},$$

where the index i on $R_{j i k l}$ has been lowered by g_y . When the Finsler function F comes from a Riemannian metric, g_y is simply the Riemannian metric, $R_{j i k l}$ is the usual Riemann tensor, and $K(x, y, V)$ reduces to the familiar sectional curvature of the 2-plane spanned by $\{y, V\}$.

An (α, β) -metric is a Finsler metric of the form $F = \alpha \varphi(s)$, $s = \frac{\beta}{\alpha}$ where $\alpha = \sqrt{\tilde{a}_{ij}(x) y^i y^j}$ is induced by a Riemannian metric $\tilde{a} = \tilde{a}_{ij} dx^i \otimes dx^j$ on a connected smooth n -dimensional manifold M and $\beta = b_i(x) y^i$ is a 1-form on M . Some important (α, β) -metrics are Randers metric, infinite metric, Matsumoto metric, Kropina metric, etc [10]. For more details about special (α, β) -metrics see [3, 7, 9, 14, 15].

The class of p -power (α, β) -metrics on a manifold M is in the following form

$$F = \alpha \left(1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^p, \quad (1.1)$$

where $p \neq 0$ is a real constant, $\alpha = \sqrt{a_{ij}(x) y^i y^j}$ is a Riemannian metric and $\beta = b_i(x) y^i$ is a 1-form on M . In (1.1), if $p = 1$, then

$$F = \alpha + \beta,$$

satisfying $b := \|\beta\|_\alpha < 1$ is called a Randers metric. If $p = 2$, then

$$F = \frac{(\alpha + \beta)^2}{\alpha},$$

satisfying $b := \|\beta\|_\alpha < 1$ is called a square metric. Square metrics have been shown to have some special geometric properties. If $p = 1/2$, then

$$F = \sqrt{\alpha(\alpha + \beta)},$$

satisfying $b := \|\beta\|_\alpha < 1$ is called a square-root metric. For properties of square-root metrics see [15].

In this paper, we consider $p = 3$, then

$$F = \frac{(\alpha + \beta)^3}{\alpha^2}, \quad (1.2)$$

satisfying $b := \|\beta\|_\alpha < 1/2$ is called a 3-power metrics. We give an explicit formula for the flag curvature of invariant 3-power metrics.

2. Preliminaries

Let M be a n -dimensional C^∞ manifold and $TM = \cup_{x \in M} T_x M$ the tangent bundle. A Finsler metric on a manifold M is a non-negative function $F : TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the following properties [2]:

- (1) F is smooth on the slit tangent bundle $TM^0 := TM \setminus \{0\}$.
- (2) $F(x, \lambda y) = \lambda F(x, y)$ for any $x \in M$, $y \in T_x M$ and $\lambda > 0$.
- (3) The $n \times n$ Hessian matrix

$$[g_{ij}] = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial^2 F^2}{\partial y^i \partial y^j} \right]$$

is positive definite at every point $(x, y) \in TM_0$.

The following bilinear symmetric form $g_y : T_x M \times T_x M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is positive definite

$$g_y(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} F^2(x, y + su + tv)|_{s=t=0}.$$

We recall that, by the homogeneity of F we have

$$g_y(u, v) = g_{ij}(x, y)u^i v^j, \quad F = \sqrt{g_{ij}(x, y)u^i v^j}.$$

Definition 2.1. [14] Let $\alpha = \sqrt{\tilde{a}_{ij}(x)y^i y^j}$ be a norm induced by a Riemannian metric \tilde{a} and $\beta(x, y) = b_i(x)y^i$ be a 1-form on an n -dimensional manifold M . Let

$$\|\beta(x)\|_\alpha := \sqrt{\tilde{a}^{ij}(x)b_i(x)b_j(x)}. \quad (2.1)$$

Now, let the function F is defined as follows

$$F := \alpha \phi(s) \quad , \quad s = \frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\phi = \phi(s)$ is a positive C^∞ function on $(-b_0, b_0)$ satisfying

$$\phi(s) - s\phi'(s) + (b^2 - s^2)\phi''(s) > 0 \quad , \quad |s| \leq b < b_0. \quad (2.3)$$

Then F is a Finsler metric if $\|\beta(x)\|_\alpha < b_0$ for any $x \in M$. A Finsler metric in the form (2.2) is called an (α, β) -metric.

We note that, a Finsler space having the Finsler function:

$$F = \frac{(\alpha + \beta)^3}{\alpha^2},$$

is called a 3-power space.

The Riemannian metric \tilde{a} induces an inner product on any cotangent space T_x^*M such that $\langle dx^i(x), dx^j(x) \rangle = \tilde{a}^{ij}(x)$. The induced inner product on T_x^*M induces a linear isomorphism between T_x^*M and T_xM . Then the 1-form β corresponds to a vector field \tilde{X} on M such that

$$\tilde{a}(y, \tilde{X}(x)) = \beta(x, y). \quad (2.4)$$

Also we have

$$\|\beta(x)\|_\alpha = \|\tilde{X}(x)\|_\alpha.$$

Therefore we can write 3-power metrics as follows:

$$F(x, y) = \frac{(\sqrt{\tilde{a}(y, y)} + \tilde{a}(X_x, y))^3}{\tilde{a}(y, y)}, \quad (2.5)$$

where for any $x \in M$, the following holds

$$\sqrt{\tilde{a}(\tilde{X}(x), \tilde{X}(x))} = \|\tilde{X}(x)\|_\alpha < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Suppose $W = W^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ be a non-vanishing vector field on an open subset D of M . We can introduce a Riemannian metric g_W and a linear connection ∇^W on the tangent bundle over D as following:

$$g_W(X, Y) = X^i Y^j g_{ij}(x, W), \quad \forall X = X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \quad Y = Y^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j},$$

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}}^W \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} = \Gamma_{ij}^k(x, V) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}.$$

Now since the Chern connection is torsion free and g -compatible we have:

$$\nabla_X^W Y - \nabla_Y^W X = [X, Y],$$

$$X_{g_W}(Y, Z) = g_W(\nabla_X^W Y, Z) + g_W(Y, \nabla_X^W Z) + 2C_W(\nabla_X^W V, Y, Z),$$

where C denotes the Cartan tensor.

The curvature tensor $R^W(X, Y)Z$ for vector fields X, Y, Z on D is defined by

$$R^W(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X^W \nabla_Y^W Z - \nabla_Y^W \nabla_X^W Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]}^W Z.$$

For a Finsler manifold (M, F) and a flag $(X; P)$ consisting of a nonzero tangent vector $X \in T_xM$ and a plane $P \subset T_xM$ spanned by the tangent vector X and Y , the flag curvature defined as

$$K(X; P) := \frac{g_X(R^X(Y, X)X, Y)}{g_X(X, X)g_X(Y, Y) - g_X(X, Y)^2}. \quad (2.6)$$

We note that in [11], Parhizkar and Latifi gives a formula for the flag curvature of a left invariant (α, β) -metrics.

3. Flag curvature of invariant 3-power metrics on homogeneous spaces

Let G be a compact Lie group, H a closed subgroup, and $\lll - , - \ggg$ a bi-invariant Riemannian metric on G . Assume that \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} are the Lie algebras of G and H respectively. The tangent space of the homogeneous space G/H is given by the orthogonal complement \mathfrak{m} of \mathfrak{h} in \mathfrak{g} with respect to $\lll - , - \ggg$. Each invariant metric g on G/H is determined by its restriction to \mathfrak{m} . The arising Ad_H -invariant inner product from g on \mathfrak{m} can extend to an Ad_H -invariant inner product on \mathfrak{g} by taking $\lll - , - \ggg$ for the components in \mathfrak{h} . In this way the invariant metric g on G/H determines a unique left invariant metric on G that we also denote by g . The values of $\lll - , - \ggg$ and g at the identity are inner products on \mathfrak{g} , we denote them by $\lll - , - \ggg$ and $\ll - , - \gg$ respectively. The inner product $\ll - , - \gg$ determines a positive definite endomorphism ϕ of \mathfrak{g} such that

$$\ll X, Y \gg = \lll \phi X, Y \ggg, \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Püttmann has shown that the curvature tensor of the invariant metric $\ll - , - \gg$ on the compact homogeneous space G/H is given by [13]:

$$\begin{aligned} \ll R(X, Y)Z, W \gg = & \frac{1}{2} \left(\lll B_-(X, Y), [Z, W] \ggg + \lll [X, Y], B_-(Z, W) \ggg \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{4} \left(\ll [X, W], [Y, Z]_{\mathfrak{m}} \gg - \ll [X, Z], [Y, W]_{\mathfrak{m}} \gg \right. \\ & \left. - 2 \ll [X, Y], [Z, W]_{\mathfrak{m}} \gg \right) \\ & + \left(\lll B_+(X, W), \phi^{-1} B_+(Y, Z) \ggg \right. \\ & \left. - \lll B_+(X, Z), \phi^{-1} B_+(Y, W) \ggg \right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B_+(X, Y) &= \frac{1}{2}([X, \phi Y] + [Y, \phi X]), \\ B_-(X, Y) &= \frac{1}{2}([\phi X, Y] + [X, \phi Y]). \end{aligned}$$

In this section, we are going to study the flag curvature of invariant 3-power metrics on homogeneous spaces.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that G be a compact Lie group, H a closed subgroup, $\lll - , - \ggg$ a bi-invariant metric on G and \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} the Lie algebras of G and H respectively. Further, assume that \tilde{a} be any invariant Riemannian metric on the homogeneous space G/H such that $\tilde{a}(Y, Z) = \lll \phi Y, Z \ggg$ where $\phi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is a positive definite endomorphism and $Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$. Also suppose that \tilde{X} is an*

invariant vector field on G/H where is parallel with respect to \tilde{a} and $\tilde{X}_H = X$. Let $F = \frac{(\alpha+\beta)^3}{\alpha^2}$ be the 3-power metric arising from \tilde{a} and \tilde{X} and (P, Y) be a flag in $T_n \frac{G}{H}$ such that $\{U, Y\}$ is an orthonormal basis of P with respect to \tilde{a} . Then the flag curvature of the flag (P, Y) is given by

$$K(P, Y) := \frac{Q\tilde{a}(R(U, Y)Y, U) + N\tilde{a}(X, U)\tilde{a}(R(U, Y)Y, X)}{(1+s)^3(Q + (6 + 24r + 36r^2 + 24r^3 + 6r^4)\tilde{a}^2(X, U))}. \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$r := \frac{\tilde{a}(X, Y)}{\sqrt{\tilde{a}(Y, Y)}}$$

and

$$Q = -2r^6 - 9r^5 - 15r^4 - 10r^3 + 3r + 1, \quad N = 15r^4 + 60r^3 + 90r^2 + 60r + 15,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a}(R(U, Y)Y, U) &= \frac{1}{2} \lll [\phi U, Y] + [U, \phi Y], [Y, U] \ggg \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{4} \tilde{a}([Y, U], [Y, U]_{\mathfrak{m}}) + \lll [U, \phi U], \phi^{-1}([Y, \phi Y]) \ggg \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4} \lll [U, \phi Y] + [Y, \phi U], \phi^{-1}([Y, \phi U] + [U, \phi Y]) \ggg, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a}(R(U, Y)Y, X) &= \frac{1}{4} \left(\lll [\phi U, Y] + [U, \phi Y], [Y, X] \ggg \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \lll [U, Y], [\phi Y, X] + [Y, \phi X] \ggg \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{4} \tilde{a}([Y, U], [Y, X]_{\mathfrak{m}}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \lll [U, \phi X] + [X, \phi U], \phi^{-1}[Y, \phi Y] \ggg \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4} \lll [U, \phi Y] + [Y, \phi U], \phi^{-1}([Y, \phi X] + [X, \phi Y]) \ggg. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since \tilde{X} is parallel with respect to \tilde{a} , then β is parallel with respect to α . Therefore F is a Berwald metric, i.e. the Chern connection of F coincide with the Riemannian connection of \tilde{a} . Therefore, F has the same curvature tensor as that of the Riemannian metric \tilde{a} and we denote it by R .

Now by using the formula

$$g_Y(U, V) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} \left[F^2(Y + sU + tV) \right]_{s=t=0},$$

and some computations for the 3-power metric F defined by the following

$$F(x, y) = \frac{(\sqrt{\tilde{a}(y, y)} + \tilde{a}(X_x, y))^3}{\tilde{a}(y, y)},$$

we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
g_Y(U, V) &= (1 + 3r + 3r^2 + r^3)^2 \tilde{a}(U, V) \\
&\quad + (3r^5 + 15r^4 + 30r^3 + 30r^2 + 15r + 3) \tilde{a}(Y, U) \\
&\quad \times \left(\frac{\tilde{a}(X, V)}{\sqrt{\tilde{a}(Y, Y)}} - \frac{\tilde{a}(X, Y) \tilde{a}(Y, V)}{(\tilde{a}(Y, Y))^{3/2}} \right) \\
&\quad + (15r^4 + 60r^3 + 90r^2 + 60r + 15) \left(\frac{\tilde{a}(X, V)}{\sqrt{\tilde{a}(Y, Y)}} - \frac{\tilde{a}(X, Y) \tilde{a}(Y, V)}{(\tilde{a}(Y, Y))^{3/2}} \right) \\
&\quad \times \left(\tilde{a}(X, U) \sqrt{\tilde{a}(Y, Y)} - \frac{\tilde{a}(Y, U) \tilde{a}(X, Y)}{\sqrt{\tilde{a}(Y, Y)}} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{(3r^5 + 15r^4 + 30r^3 + 30r^2 + 15r + 3)}{\sqrt{\tilde{a}(Y, Y)}} \\
&\quad \times (\tilde{a}(X, U) \tilde{a}(Y, V) - \tilde{a}(U, V) \tilde{a}(X, Y)), \tag{3.3}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$r = \frac{\tilde{a}(X, Y)}{\sqrt{\tilde{a}(Y, Y)}}.$$

From equation (3.3) we have:

$$g_Y(U, U) = (15r^4 + 60r^3 + 90r^2 + 60r + 15) \tilde{a}^2(X, U) + (-2r^6 - 9r^5 - 15r^4 - 10r^3 + 3r + 1), \tag{3.4}$$

$$g_Y(Y, Y) = (1 + r)^6 = (1 + 3r + 3r^2 + r^3)^2, \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$g_Y(Y, U) = (3r^5 + 15r^4 + 30r^3 + 30r^2 + 15r + 3) \tilde{a}(X, U). \tag{3.6}$$

So we get

$$\begin{aligned}
g_Y(Y, Y) \cdot g_Y(U, U) - g_Y^2(Y, U) &= (1 + 3r + 3r^2 + r^3)^2 \\
&\quad \times \left((-2r^6 - 9r^5 - 15r^4 - 10r^3 + 3r + 1) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (6 + 24r + 36r^2 + 24r^3 + 6r^4) \tilde{a}^2(X, U) \right). \tag{3.7}
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
g_Y(R(U, Y)Y, U) &= (-2r^6 - 9r^5 - 15r^4 - 10r^3 + 3r + 1) \tilde{a}(R(U, Y)Y, U) \\
&\quad \left((3r^5 + 15r^4 + 30r^3 + 30r^2 + 15r + 3) \tilde{a}(X, U) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (15r^4 + 60r^3 + 90r^2 + 60r + 15) \tilde{a}(X, U) r \right) \\
&\quad \times \tilde{a}(R(U, Y)Y, Y) \\
&\quad + ((15r^4 + 60r^3 + 90r^2 + 60r + 15)) \tilde{a}(X, U) \tilde{a}(R(U, Y)Y, X). \tag{3.8}
\end{aligned}$$

Now by using Püttmann's formula and some computations we get:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{a}(R(U, Y)Y, U) &= \frac{1}{2} \lll [\phi U, Y] + [U, \phi Y], [Y, U] \ggg \\ &+ \frac{3}{4} \tilde{a}([Y, U], [Y, U]_{\mathfrak{m}}) + \lll [U, \phi U], \phi^{-1}([Y, \phi Y]) \ggg \\ &- \frac{1}{4} \lll [U, \phi Y] + [Y, \phi U], \phi^{-1}([Y, \phi U] + [U, \phi Y]) \ggg,\end{aligned}\quad (3.9)$$

$$\tilde{a}(R(U, Y)Y, Y) = 0, \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{a}(R(U, Y)Y, X) &= \frac{1}{4} \left(\lll [\phi U, Y] + [U, \phi Y], [Y, X] \ggg \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \lll [U, Y], [\phi Y, X] + [Y, \phi X] \ggg \right) \\ &+ \frac{3}{4} \tilde{a}([Y, U], [Y, X]_{\mathfrak{m}}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \lll [U, \phi X] + [X, \phi U], \phi^{-1}[Y, \phi Y] \ggg \\ &- \frac{1}{4} \lll [U, \phi Y] + [Y, \phi U], \phi^{-1}([Y, \phi X] + [X, \phi Y]) \ggg.\end{aligned}\quad (3.11)$$

Substituting the equations (3.4)-(3.11) in (2.6) give us the proof. \square

REFERENCES

1. P. Bahmandoust and D. Latifi, *Naturally reductive homogeneous (α, β) - spaces*, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. **17** (8), (2020), 2050117.
2. D. Bao, S. S. Chern, Z. Shen, *An introduction to Riemann-Finsler geometry*, Springer-Verlag, NEWYORK, (2000).
3. M. Ebrahimi and D. Latifi, *On flag curvature and homogeneous geodesics of left invariant Randers metrics on the semi-direct product $a \oplus_{\mathfrak{p}} r$* , Journal of Lie Theory, **29**, (2019), 619-627.
4. D. Latifi, *Homogeneous geodesics in homogeneous Finsler spaces*, J. Geom. Phys. **57**, (2007), 1421-1433.
5. D. Latifi, A. Razavi, *On homogeneous Finsler spaces*, Rep. Math. Phys, **57**, (2006) 357-366. Erratum: Rep. Math. Phys. 60, (2007), 347.
6. D. Latifi, *Bi-invariant Randers metrics on Lie groups*, Publ. Math. Debrecen., **76** 1-2, (2010), 219-226.
7. D. Latifi and M. Toomanian, *Invariant naturally reductive Randers metrics on homogeneous spaces*, Math Sci., **6** 63, (2012).
8. D. Latifi, *Bi-invariant (α, β) - metrics on Lie groups*, Acta Universitatis Apulensis **65**, (2021), 121-131.
9. D. Latifi, *On generalized symmetric square metrics*, Acta Universitatis Apulensis, **68**, (2021), 63-70.
10. M. Matsumoto, *Theory of Finsler spaces with (α, β) -metric*, Rep. Math. Phys. **31**, (1992), 43-83.

11. M. Parhizkar and D. Latifi, *On the flag curvature of invariant (α, β) - metrics*, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys., **13**, (2016), 1650039, 1-11.
12. M. Parhizkar and D. Latifi, *On invariant Matsumoto metrics*, Vietnam J. Math., **47**, (2019), 355–365.
13. T. Püttmann, *Optimal pinching constants of odd dimensional homogeneous spaces*, Invent. Math., **138**, (1999), 631–684.
14. M. L. Zeinali, *On generalized symmetric Finsler spaces with some special (α, β) -metrics*, Journal of Finsler Geometry and its Applications, **1**, No. 1, (2020), 45-53.
15. M. L. Zeinali, *Some results in generalized symmetric square-root spaces*, Journal of Finsler Geometry and its Applications, **3**, No. 2, (2022), 13-19.

Received: 14-07-2023

Accepted: 28-07-2023