Journal of Finsler Geometry and its Applications
Vol. 4, No. 1 (2023), pp 33-44
https://doi.org/10.22098/jfga.2023.12052.1083

On birecurrent for some tensors in various Finsler spaces

Alaa A. Abdallah[a], Ahmed A. Hamoud[b], A. Navlekar[c], Kirtiwant Ghadle[d], Basel Hardan[e], Homan Emadifar[f]*, Masoumeh Khademi[f]

[a]Department of Mathematics, Abyan University, Abyan, Yemen.
E-mail: maths.aab@bamu.ac.in
[b]Department of Mathematics, Taiz University, Taiz P.O. Box 6803, Yemen
E-mail: ahmed.hamoud@taiz.edu.ye
[c]Department of Mathematics, Pratishthan Mahavidyalaya, Paithan, India.
E-mail: dr.navlekar@gmail.com
[d]Department of Mathematics, Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University, Aurangabad, India.
E-mail: ghadle.maths@bamu.ac.in
[e]Department of Mathematics, Abyan University, Abyan, Yemen.
E-mail: bassil2003@gmail.com
[f]Department of Mathematics, Hamedan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Hamedan, Iran.
E-mail: homan_emadi@yahoo.com(H.E), dr.amonaft@gmail.com(M.KH)

Abstract. The $\mathfrak{B}C-$ recurrent Finsler space introduced by Alaa et al. [1]. Now in this paper, we introduce and extend $\mathfrak{B}C-$ birecurrent Finsler space by using some properties of different spaces. We study the relationship between Cartan's second curvature tensor P^i_{jkh} and $(h)hv-$ torsion tensor C^i_{jk} in sense of Berwald. Additionally, the necessary and sufficient condition for some tensors which satisfy birecurrence property will be discuss in different spaces. Four theorems have been established and proved.

Keywords: $\mathfrak{B}C-$ birecurrent space, birecurrence property, $P2-$like space,

---

*Corresponding Author
AMS 2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53B40, 53C42, 53C60

P^*-space, generalized P-reducible space.

1. Introduction

The tensors which satisfy a birecurrence property in Finsler spaces has been discussed by the Finslerian geometers. The concept of $C-$birecurrent space in sense of Cartan and Berwald were studied by Pandey and Verma [20] and Sarangi and Goswami [13], respectively. Saleem [6] discussed C^h-generalized birecurrent space and C^h-special generalized birecurrent space. Pandey and Verma [20], Otman [9], Hanballa [8], Alqufail et al. [14] and Dikshit [23] introduced C^h- birecurrnt space, $\mathfrak{B}P-$ birecurrent space, $\mathfrak{B}K-$birecurrent space, K^h- birecurrent space and R^h- birecurrent space, respectively. Also, Qasem and Hanballa [10] studied K^h-generalized birecurrent space.

In the same vein, Saleem and Abdallah [7] introduced the U^h- birecurrent Finsler space and discussed the necessary and sufficient condition for some tensors which satisfy the birecurrence property.

Regarding to special spaces of Finsler space, Pandey and Dikshit [21] discussed P^*- and $P-$reducible Finsler space of recurrent curvature tensor, Otman [9] studied the properties of $P2-$like space and P^*-space in P^h-birecurrent space. In addition, Saleem [6] studied $P2-$like$-$generalized birecurrent space and $P2-$like$-C^h-$special generalized birecurrent. Further, Saxena and Swaroop [22] used $P-$reducibility condition in spacial Finsler spaces. Recently, the properties of $P2-$like space, P^*-space and generalized P-reducible space in generalized $\mathfrak{B}P-$ recurrent space have been studied by [2, 3]. The main idea of this paper to concentrate on obtaining the necessary and sufficient condition for P^i_{jkh}, P_{ijkh}, P^i_{kh}, P_{jk}, P_k and P which satisfy birecurrence property in various spaces.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, important concept of Finsler geometry will be given in this paper. An $n-$dimensional space X_n equipped with a function $F(x,y)$ that denoted by $F_n = (X_n, F(x,y))$ called a Finsler space if the function $F(x,y)$ satisfying the request conditions [5, 12, 15, 24].

Matsumoto [18] introduced the $(h)hv-$torsion tensor C_{ijk} that is positively homogeneous of degree -1 in y^i and defined by

$$C_{ijk} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\partial}_i\ g_{jk} = \frac{1}{4}\dot{\partial}_i\dot{\partial}_j\dot{\partial}_k\ F^2.$$

By using Euler's theorem on homogeneous function, we get

$$a)\ C_{ijk}\ y^i = C_{kij}\ y^i = C_{jki}\ y^i = 0 \text{ and } b)\ C^i_{jk}\ y^j = C^i_{kj}\ y^j = 0, \qquad (2.1)$$

where C^i_{jk} is called associate tensor of the tensor C_{ijk}, these tensors are defined by

$$a)\ C^h_{ik} = C_{ijk}g^{hj},\ b)\ C^i_{ji} = C_j \text{ and } c)\ C_k y^k = C, \tag{2.2}$$

The unit vector l^i and the associative vector l_i with the direction of y^i are given by

$$a)\ l^i = \frac{y^i}{F} \text{ and } b)\ l_i = \frac{y_i}{F}. \tag{2.3}$$

Berwald covariant derivative $\mathfrak{B}_k T^i_j$ of an arbitrary tensor field T^i_j with respect to x^k is given by [12]

$$\mathfrak{B}_k T^i_j = \partial_k T^i_j - (\dot{\partial}_r T^i_j)G^r_k + T^r_j G^i_{rk} - T^i_r G^r_{jk}.$$

Berwald covariant derivative of the vector y^i vanish identically, i.e.

$$\mathfrak{B}_k y^i = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

The tensor P^i_{jkh} is called $hv-$curvature tensor (Cartan's second curvature tensor) is positively homogeneous of degree -1 in y^i and defined by [12]

$$P^i_{jkh} = C^i_{kh|j} - g^{ir}C_{jkh|r} + C^r_{jk}P^i_{rh} - P^r_{jh}C^i_{rk}, \tag{2.5}$$

which satisfies the relation

$$P^i_{jkh}y^j = \Gamma^{*i}_{jkh}y^j = P^i_{kh} = C^i_{kh|r}y^r, \tag{2.6}$$

where P^i_{kh} is $(v)hv-$torsion tensor which satisfies

$$P^i_{kh} = P_{rkh}g^{ir}, \tag{2.7}$$

where P_{rkh} is called associative tensor for $v(hv)-$torsion tensor.
$P-$ Ricci tensor P_{jk} , curvature vector P_k and curvature scalar P of Cartan's second curvature tensor are given by

$$a)\ P_{jk} = P^i_{jki},\ b)\ P^i_{ki} = P_k \text{ and } c)\ P = P_k y^k, \tag{2.8}$$

respectively.

Definition 2.1. *A Finsler space F_n is called a $P2-$like space if the Cartan's secend curvature tensor P^i_{jkh} is characterized by the condition* [18]

$$P^i_{jkh} = \varphi_j C^i_{kh} - \varphi^i C_{jkh}, \tag{2.9}$$

where φ_j and φ^i are non - zero covariant and contravariant vectors field, respectively.

Definition 2.2. *A Finsler space F_n is called a P^*-Finsler space if the $(v)hv-$ torsion tensor P^i_{kh} is characterized by the condition* [11]

$$P^i_{kh} = \varphi C^i_{kh}, \tag{2.10}$$

where $P^i_{jkh}y^j = P^i_{kh} = C^i_{kh|s}y^s$.

Definition 2.3. *A Finsler space F_n is called a generalized P-reducible space if the associate tensor P_{jkh} of $(v)hv-$torsion tensor P^i_{kh} is characterized by the condition* [19, 25]

$$P_{jkh} = \lambda C_{jkh} + \vartheta(h_{jk}C_h + h_{kh}C_j + h_{hj}C_k), \tag{2.11}$$

where λ and ϑ are scalar vectors positively homogeneous of degree one in y^j and h_{jk} is the angular metric tensor.

Definition 2.4. *Let the current coordinates in the tangent space at the point x_0 be x^i, then the indicatrix I_{n-1} is a hypersurface defined by* [12] $F\left(x_0, x^i\right) = 1$ *or by the parametric form defined by $x^i = x^i\left(u^a\right)$, $a = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$.*

Definition 2.5. *The projection of any tensor T^i_j on indicatrix I_{n-1} given by* [12, 16]

$$p.T^i_j = T^a_b h^i_a h^b_j, \tag{2.12}$$

where

$$h^i_c = \delta^i_c - l^i l_c. \tag{2.13}$$

The projection of the vector y^i, the unit vector l^i and the metric tensor g_{ij} on the indicatrix are given by $p.y^i = 0$, $p.l^i = 0$ and $p.g_{ij} = h_{ij}$, where $h_{ij} = g_{ij} - l_i l_j$.

3. On $\mathfrak{B}C-$Birecurrent Space

In this section, we find the condition for different tensors which behave as birecurrent in $\mathfrak{B}C-$birecurrent space. Matsumoto [17] introduced a Finsler space which the $(h)hv-$torsion tensor C_{ijk} and its associate tensor C^i_{jk} satisfy the recurrence property in sense of Cartan. This space is called C^h-recurrent space and characterized by the conditions

$$a)\ C^i_{kh|m} = \lambda_m C^i_{kh} \text{ and } b)\ C_{jkh|m} = \lambda_m C_{jkh}. \tag{3.1}$$

Alaa et al. [1] introduced $\mathfrak{B}C - RF_n$ which is characterized by the conditions

$$a)\ \mathfrak{B}_m C^i_{kh} = \lambda_m C^i_{kh} \text{ and } b)\ \mathfrak{B}_m C_{jkh} = \lambda_m C_{jkh}. \tag{3.2}$$

Sarangi and Goswami [13] introduced a Finsler space which the $(h)hv-$ torsion tensor C_{ijk} and its associate tensor C^i_{jk} satisfy the birecurrence property in sense of Berwald and called it $C-$birecurrent space. Let us denote to this space briefly by a $\mathfrak{B}C - BRF_n$. This space characterized by the conditions

$$a)\ \mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m C^i_{kh} = a_{lm} C^i_{kh} \text{ and } b)\ \mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m C_{jkh} = a_{lm} C_{jkh}, \tag{3.3}$$

where $a_{lm} = \mathfrak{B}_l\lambda_m + \lambda_l\lambda_m$. Using eq. (3.1) in (2.5), we get

$$P^i_{jkh} = \lambda_j C^i_{kh} - \lambda^i C_{jkh} + C^r_{jk}P^i_{rh} - C^i_{rk}P^r_{jh}, \tag{3.4}$$

where $\lambda^i = \lambda_r g^{ir}$.

In next theorem we get the necessary and sufficient condition for some tensors which behave as birecurrent tensor in $\mathfrak{B}C-BRF_n$.

Theorem 3.1. *In $\mathfrak{B}C-BRF_n$, Cartan's second curvature tensor P^i_{jkh}, torsion tensor P^i_{kh}, $P-$Ricci tensor P_{jk}, curvature vector P_k and curvature scalar P satisfy the birecurrence property if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned}&\{(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda_j)+(\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda_j)\lambda_l+(\mathfrak{B}_l\lambda_j)\lambda_m\}C^i_{kh}\\&-\{(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda^i)-(\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda^i)\lambda_l-(\mathfrak{B}_l\lambda^i)\lambda_m\}C_{jkh}\\&+\{\lambda_m(\mathfrak{B}_lP^i_{rh})+\lambda_l(\mathfrak{B}_mP^i_{rh})+(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_mP^i_{rh})\}C^r_{jk}\\&-\{\lambda_m(\mathfrak{B}_lP^r_{jh})+\lambda_l(\mathfrak{B}_mP^r_{jh})+(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_mP^r_{jh})\}C^i_{rk}=0,\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\{(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda_j)+(\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda_j)\lambda_l+(\mathfrak{B}_l\lambda_j)\lambda_m\}C^i_{kh}y^j\\&-\{\lambda_m(\mathfrak{B}_lP^r_{jh})+\lambda_l(\mathfrak{B}_mP^r_{jh})+(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_mP^r_{jh})\}C^i_{rk}y^j=0,\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\{(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda_j)+(\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda_j)\lambda_l+(\mathfrak{B}_l\lambda_j)\lambda_m\}C_k\\&-\{(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda^i)-(\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda^i)\lambda_l-(\mathfrak{B}_l\lambda^i)\lambda_m\}C_{jki}\\&+\{\lambda_m(\mathfrak{B}_lP_r)+\lambda_l(\mathfrak{B}_mP_r)+(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_mP_r)\}C^r_{jk}\\&-\{\lambda_m(\mathfrak{B}_lP^r_{ji})+\lambda_l(\mathfrak{B}_mP^r_{ji})+(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_mP^r_{ji})\}C^i_{rk}=0,\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\{(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda_j)+(\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda_j)\lambda_l+(\mathfrak{B}_l\lambda_j)\lambda_m\}C_ky^j\\&-\{\lambda_m(\mathfrak{B}_lP^r_{ji})+\lambda_l(\mathfrak{B}_mP^r_{ji})+(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_mP^r_{ji})\}C^i_{rk}y^j=0,\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$\{(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda_j)+(\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda_j)\lambda_l+(\mathfrak{B}_l\lambda_j)\lambda_m\}Cy^j=0, \tag{3.9}$$

respectively.

Proof. Taking $\mathfrak{B}-$ covariant derivative for eq. (3.4) twice with respect to x^m and x^l, respectively, using eqs. (3.2) and (3.3) in the resulting equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_mP^i_{jkh} \;=\;& a_{lm}(\lambda_jC^i_{kh}-\lambda^iC_{jkh}+C^r_{jk}P^i_{rh}-C^i_{rk}P^r_{jh})\\&+\{(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda_j)+(\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda_j)\lambda_l+(\mathfrak{B}_l\lambda_j)\lambda_m\}C^i_{kh}\\&-\{(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda^i)-(\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda^i)\lambda_l-(\mathfrak{B}_l\lambda^i)\lambda_m\}C_{jkh}\\&+\{\lambda_m(\mathfrak{B}_lP^i_{rh})+\lambda_l(\mathfrak{B}_mP^i_{rh})+(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_mP^i_{rh})\}C^r_{jk}\\&-\{\lambda_m(\mathfrak{B}_lP^r_{jh})+\lambda_l(\mathfrak{B}_mP^r_{jh})+(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_mP^r_{jh})\}C^i_{rk}.\end{aligned}$$

Using eq. (3.4) in above equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_mP^i_{jkh} \;=\;& a_{lm}P^i_{jkh}+\{(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda_j)+(\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda_j)\lambda_l+(\mathfrak{B}_l\lambda_j)\lambda_m\}C^i_{kh}\\&-\{(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda^i)-(\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda^i)\lambda_l-(\mathfrak{B}_l\lambda^i)\lambda_m\}C_{jkh}\\&+\{\lambda_m(\mathfrak{B}_lP^i_{rh})+\lambda_l(\mathfrak{B}_mP^i_{rh})+(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_mP^i_{rh})\}C^r_{jk}\\&-\{\lambda_m(\mathfrak{B}_lP^r_{jh})+\lambda_l(\mathfrak{B}_mP^r_{jh})+(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_mP^r_{jh})\}C^i_{rk}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

This shows that

$$\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m P^i_{jkh} = a_{lm} P^i_{jkh}. \tag{3.11}$$

if and only if eq. (3.5) holds.

Transvecting eq. (3.10) by y^j, using (2.1), (2.4) and (2.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m P^i_{kh} &= a_{lm} P^i_{kh} + \{(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda_j) + (\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda_j)\lambda_l + (\mathfrak{B}_l\lambda_j)\lambda_m\} C^i_{kh} y^j \\ &\quad -\{\lambda_m(\mathfrak{B}_l P^r_{jh}) + \lambda_l(\mathfrak{B}_m P^r_{jh}) + (\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m P^r_{jh})\} C^i_{rk} y^j \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

This shows that

$$\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m P^i_{kh} = a_{lm} P^i_{kh}. \tag{3.13}$$

if and only if eq. (3.6) holds.

Contracting the indices i and h in eq. (3.10), using (2.2) and (2.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m P_{jk} &= a_{lm} P_{jk} + \{(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda_j) + (\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda_j)\lambda_l + (\mathfrak{B}_l\lambda_j)\lambda_m\} C_k \\ &\quad -\{(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda^i) - (\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda^i)\lambda_l - (\mathfrak{B}_l\lambda^i)\lambda_m\} C_{jki} \\ &\quad +\{\lambda_m(\mathfrak{B}_l P_r) + \lambda_l(\mathfrak{B}_m P_r) + (\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m P_r)\} C^r_{jk} \\ &\quad -\{\lambda_m(\mathfrak{B}_l P^r_{ji}) + \lambda_l(\mathfrak{B}_m P^r_{ji}) + (\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m P^r_{ji})\} C^i_{rk}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

This shows that

$$\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m P_{jk} = a_{lm} P_{jk}. \tag{3.15}$$

if and only if eq. (3.7) holds.

Contracting the indices i and h in eq. (3.12), using (2.2) and (2.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m P_k &= a_{lm} P_k + \{(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda_j) + (\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda_j)\lambda_l + (\mathfrak{B}_l\lambda_j)\lambda_m\} C_k y^j \\ &\quad -\{\lambda_m(\mathfrak{B}_l P^r_{ji}) + \lambda_l(\mathfrak{B}_m P^r_{ji}) + (\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m P^r_{ji})\} C^i_{rk} y^j \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

This shows that

$$\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m P_k = a_{lm} P_k \tag{3.17}$$

if and only if eq. (3.8) holds.

Transvecting eq. (3.16) by y^k, using (2.2), (2.4) and (2.8), we get

$$\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m P = a_{lm} P + \{(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda_j) + (\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda_j)\lambda_l + (\mathfrak{B}_l\lambda_j)\lambda_m\} C y^j \tag{3.18}$$

This shows that

$$\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m P = a_{lm} P. \tag{3.19}$$

if and only if eq. (3.9) holds.

Consequently, from eqs. (3.11), (3.13), (3.15), (3.17) and (3.19), we deduce that the behavior of P^i_{jkh}, P^i_{kh}, P_{jk}, P_k and P in $\mathfrak{B}C - BRF_n$ as birecurrent if and only if eqs. (3.5), (3.6), (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9), respectively hold. Hence, we have proved this theorem.

4. Special Spaces of $\mathfrak{B}C-$Birecurrent Space

In this section, we merge the $\mathfrak{B}C-$ birecurrent space with particular spaces of Finsler space to get new spaces.

4.1. A $P2-$Like $\mathfrak{B}C-$Birecurrent Space.

Definition 4.1. *The $\mathfrak{B}C-$birecurrent space which is $P2-$like space, i.e. satisfies the condition (2.9), will be called a $P2-$like $\mathfrak{B}C-$birecurrent space and will be denoted briefly by $P2-$like$-\mathfrak{B}C-BRF_n$.*

In next theorem we get the necessary and sufficient condition for some tensors which behave as birecurrent tensor in $P2-$like$-\mathfrak{B}C-BRF_n$.

Theorem 4.2. *In $P2-$like$-\mathfrak{B}C-BRF_n$, Cartan's second curvature tensor P^i_{jkh}, torsion tensor P^i_{kh}, $P-$Ricci tensor P_{jk} and curvature vector P_k satisfy the birecurrence property if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned}&\{(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta_j)+(\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta_j)\lambda_l+(\mathfrak{B}_l\vartheta_j)\lambda_m\}C^i_{kh}\\&-\{(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta^i)-(\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta^i)\lambda_l-(\mathfrak{B}_l\vartheta^i)\lambda_m\}C_{jkh}=0,\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

$$\{(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta_j)+(\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta_j)\lambda_l+(\mathfrak{B}_l\vartheta_j)\lambda_m\}C^i_{kh}y^j=0, \tag{4.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\{(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta_j)+(\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta_j)\lambda_l+(\mathfrak{B}_l\vartheta_j)\lambda_m\}C_k\\&-\{(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta^i)-(\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta^i)\lambda_l-(\mathfrak{B}_l\vartheta^i)\lambda_m\}C_{jki}=0\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

and

$$\{(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta_j)+(\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta_j)\lambda_l+(\mathfrak{B}_l\vartheta_j)\lambda_m\}C_ky^j=0. \tag{4.4}$$

respectively.

Proof. Taking $\mathfrak{B}-$ covariant derivative for the condition (2.9) twice with respect to x^m and x^l, respectively, using eqs. (3.2) and (3.3) in the resulting equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_mP^i_{jkh} \;=\;& a_{lm}(\vartheta_jC^i_{kh}-\vartheta^iC_{jkh})\\&+\{(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta_j)+(\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta_j)\lambda_l+(\mathfrak{B}_l\vartheta_j)\lambda_m\}C^i_{kh}\\&-\{(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda^i)-(\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta^i)\lambda_l-(\mathfrak{B}_l\vartheta^i)\lambda_m\}C_{jkh}.\end{aligned}$$

Using the condition (2.9) in above equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_mP^i_{jkh} \;=\;& a_{lm}P^i_{jkh}+\{(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta_j)+(\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta_j)\lambda_l+(\mathfrak{B}_l\vartheta_j)\lambda_m\}C^i_{kh}\\&-\{(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta^i)-(\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta^i)\lambda_l-(\mathfrak{B}_l\vartheta^i)\lambda_m\}C_{jkh}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

This shows that $\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_mP^i_{jkh}=a_{lm}P^i_{jkh}$ if and only if eq. (4.1) holds.

Transvecting eq. (4.5) by y^j using (2.1), (2.4) and (2.6), we get

$$\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_mP^i_{kh}=a_{lm}P^i_{kh}+\{(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta_j)+(\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta_j)\lambda_l+(\mathfrak{B}_l\vartheta_j)\lambda_m\}C^i_{kh}y^j. \tag{4.6}$$

This shows that $\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_mP^i_{kh}=a_{lm}P^i_{kh}$ if and only if eq. (4.2) holds.

Contracting the indices i and h in eq. (4.5), using (2.2) and (2.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m P_{jk} &= a_{lm}P_{jk} + \{(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta_j) + (\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta_j)\lambda_l + (\mathfrak{B}_l\vartheta_j)\lambda_m\}C_k \\ &\quad -\{(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta^i) - (\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta^i)\lambda_l - (\mathfrak{B}_l\vartheta^i)\lambda_m\}C_{jki}. \qquad (4.7)\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m P_{jk} = a_{lm}P_{jk}$ if and only if eq. (4.3) holds.

Contracting the indices i and h in eq. (4.6), using (2.2) and (2.8), we get

$$\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m P_k = a_{lm}P_k + \{(\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta_j) + (\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta_j)\lambda_l + (\mathfrak{B}_l\vartheta_j)\lambda_m\}C_k y^j \qquad (4.8)$$

This shows that $\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m P_k = a_{lm}P_k$ if and only if eq. (4.4) holds.

Consequently, from previous equations we proved that the behavior of P^i_{jkh}, P^i_{kh}, P_{jk} and P_k in $P2-$like$-\mathfrak{B}C - BRF_n$ as birecurrent if and only if eqs. (4.1), (4.2), (4.3) and (4.4), respectively hold. Hence, we have proved this theorem.

4.2. A P^*- $\mathfrak{B}C-$Birecurrent Space.

Definition 4.3. *The $\mathfrak{B}C-$birecurrent space which is P^*- space, i.e. satisfies the condition (2.10), will be called a $P^* - \mathfrak{B}C-$birecurrent space and will be denoted briefly by $P^* - \mathfrak{B}C - BRF_n$.*

In next theorem we get the necessary and sufficient condition for some tensors which behave as recurrent tensor in $P^*- \mathfrak{B}C - BRF_n$.

Theorem 4.4. *In $P^*- \mathfrak{B}C - BRF_n$, the torsion tensor P^i_{kh}, curvature vector P_k and curvature scalar P satisfy the birecurrence property if and only if*

$$[\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta + \lambda_l(\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta) + \lambda_m(\mathfrak{B}_l\vartheta)]\, C^i_{kh} = 0, \qquad (4.9)$$

$$[\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta + \lambda_l(\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta) + \lambda_m(\mathfrak{B}_l\vartheta)]\, C_k = 0 \qquad (4.10)$$

and

$$[\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta + \lambda_l(\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta) + \lambda_m(\mathfrak{B}_l\vartheta)]\, C = 0, \qquad (4.11)$$

respectively.

Proof. Taking $\mathfrak{B}-$ covariant derivative for the condition (2.10) twice with respect to x^m and x^l, respectively, using eqs.(3.2) and (3.3) in the resulting equation, we get

$$\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m P^i_{kh} = \vartheta a_{lm}C^i_{kh} + [\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta + \lambda_l(\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta) + \lambda_m(\mathfrak{B}_l\vartheta)]\, C^i_{kh}.$$

Using the condition (2.10) in above equation, we get

$$\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m P^i_{kh} = a_{lm}P^i_{kh} + [\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta + \lambda_l(\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta) + \lambda_m(\mathfrak{B}_l\vartheta)]\, C^i_{kh} \qquad (4.12)$$

This shows that $\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m P^i_{kh} = a_{lm}P^i_{kh}$ if and only if eq. (4.9) holds.

Contracting the indices i and h in eq. (4.12), using (2.2) and (2.8), we get

$$\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m P_k = a_{lm}P_k + [\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta + \lambda_l(\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta) + \lambda_m(\mathfrak{B}_l\vartheta)]\, C_k \qquad (4.13)$$

This shows that $\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m P_k = a_{lm}P_k$ if and only if eq. (4.10) holds.

Transvecting eq. (4.13) by y^k, using (2.2) and (2.8), we get

$$\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m P = a_{lm}P + \left[\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta + \lambda_l\left(\mathfrak{B}_m\vartheta\right) + \lambda_m\left(\mathfrak{B}_l\vartheta\right)\right]C \tag{4.14}$$

This shows that $\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m P = a_{lm}P$ if and only if eq. (4.11) holds.

Consequently, from previous equations we proved that the behavior of P^i_{kh}, P_k and P in $P^*-\mathfrak{B}C-BRF_n$ as birecurrent if and only if eqs. (4.9), (4.10) and (4.11), respectively hold. Hence, we have proved this theorem.

4.3. **A $P-$Reducible $-\mathfrak{B}C-$Birecurrent Space.**

Definition 4.5. *The $\mathfrak{B}C-$birecurrent space which is generalized P-reducible space, i.e. satisfies the condition (2.11), will be called a $P-$reducible $-\mathfrak{B}C-$birecurrent space and will be denoted briefly by $P-$reducible $-\mathfrak{B}C-BRF_n$.*

In next theorem we get the necessary and sufficient condition for some tensors which be non-vanishing in $P-$reducible $-\mathfrak{B}C-BRF_n$.

Theorem 4.6. *In $P-$reducible$-\mathfrak{B}C-BRF_n$, Berwald's covariant derivative of the second order for the tensors $\vartheta(h^i_kC_h+h_{kh}C^i+h^i_hC_k)$ and $\vartheta(h_{jk}C_h+h_{kh}C_j+h_{hj}C_k)$ are given by*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\left[\vartheta(h^i_kC_h+h_{kh}C^j+h^i_hC_k)\right] &= a_{lm}\vartheta(h^i_kC_h+h_{kh}C^i+h^i_hC_k) \\ &- \left[\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda+\left(\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda\right)\lambda_l+\left(\mathfrak{B}_l\lambda\right)\lambda_m\right]C^i_{kh}\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m[\vartheta(h_{jk}C_h+h_{kh}C_j+h_{hj}C_k)] = a_{lm}\vartheta(h_{jk}C_h+h_{kh}C_j+h_{hj}C_k)\\ &-[\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda+(\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda)\lambda_l+(\mathfrak{B}_l\lambda)\lambda_m]C_{jkh}\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

if and only if the torsion tensor P^i_{kh} and associate torsion tensor P_{jkh} satisfy the birecurrence property, respectively.

Proof. Transvecting the condition (2.11) by g^{ij}, using (2.7) and (2.2), we get

$$P^i_{kh} = \lambda C^i_{kh} + \vartheta(h^i_kC_h+h_{kh}C^i+h^i_hC_k), \tag{4.17}$$

where $h^i_k = g^{ij}h_{jk}$ and $C^i = g^{ij}C_j$.

Taking $\mathfrak{B}-$ covariant derivative for the condition (4.17) twice with respect to x^m and x^l respectively, using eqs. (3.2) and (3.3) in the resulting equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m P^i_{kh} &= \lambda a_{lm}C^i_{kh} + \left[\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda+\left(\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda\right)\lambda_l+\left(\mathfrak{B}_l\lambda\right)\lambda_m\right]C^i_{kh}\\ &\quad +\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\left[\vartheta(h^i_kC_h+h_{kh}C^i+h^i_hC_k)\right].\end{aligned}$$

Using the condition (4.17) in above equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m P^i_{kh} &= a_{lm}P^i_{kh} - a_{lm}\vartheta(h^i_kC_h+h_{kh}C^i+h^i_hC_k) + [\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda+(\mathfrak{B}_m\lambda)\lambda_l\\ &\quad +\left(\mathfrak{B}_l\lambda\right)\lambda_m]C^i_{kh} + \mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m[\vartheta(h^i_kC_h+h_{kh}C^j+h^i_hC_k)].\end{aligned}$$

Then Berwald's covariant derivative of the second order for the tensor $\varphi\Big(h^i_k C_h + h_{kh} C^i + h^i_h C_k\Big)$ satisfies eq. (4.15) if and only if

$$\mathfrak{B}_l \mathfrak{B}_m P^i_{kh} = a_{lm} P^i_{kh}.$$

The above equation refer to P^i_{kh} satisfies the birecurrence property.

Taking $\mathfrak{B}-$ covariant derivative for the condition (2.11) twice with respect to x^m and x^l, respectively, using eqs. (3.2) and (3.3) in the resulting equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{B}_l \mathfrak{B}_m P_{jkh} \quad = \quad & \lambda a_{lm} C_{jkh} + [\mathfrak{B}_l \mathfrak{B}_m \lambda + (\mathfrak{B}_m \lambda)\lambda_l + (\mathfrak{B}_l \lambda)\lambda_m] C_{jkh} \\ & + \mathfrak{B}_l \mathfrak{B}_m [\vartheta(h_{jk} C_h + h_{kh} C_j + h_{hj} C_k)].\end{aligned}$$

Using the condition (2.11) in above equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{B}_l \mathfrak{B}_m P_{jkh} \quad = \quad & a_{lm} P_{jkh} - a_{lm} \vartheta(h_{jk} C_h + h_{kh} C_j + h_{hj} C_k) \\ & + [\mathfrak{B}_l \mathfrak{B}_m \lambda + (\mathfrak{B}_m \lambda)\, \lambda_l + (\mathfrak{B}_l \lambda)\, \lambda_m]\, C_{jkh} \\ & + \mathfrak{B}_l \mathfrak{B}_m \left[\vartheta(h_{jk} C_h + h_{kh} C_j + h_{hj} C_k)\right].\end{aligned}$$

Then Berwald's covariant derivative of the second order for the tensor $\varphi\Big(h_{jk} C_h + h_{kh} C_j + h_{hj} C_k\Big)$ satisfies eq. (4.16) if and only if

$$\mathfrak{B}_l \mathfrak{B}_m P_{jkh} = a_{lm} P_{jkh}.$$

The above equation refer to P_{jkh} satisfies the birecurrence property. Hence, we have proved this theorem.

5. **An Example**

In this section, we give an example to clarify the proved findings.

Example 5.1. *The behavior of the torsion tensor P^i_{kh} as birecurrent if and only if the projection on indicatrix for it is also birecurrent.*

Firstly, since the torsion tensor P^i_{kh} behaves as birecurrent, then the condition (3.13) is satisfied. In view of (2.12), the projection of the torsion tensor P^i_{kh} on indicatrix is given by

$$p.P^i_{kh} = P^a_{bc} h^i_a h^b_k h^c_h. \tag{5.1}$$

Using $\mathfrak{B}-$covariant derivative for eq. (5.1) twice with respect to x^m and x^l, respectively, using the condition (3.13) and the fact that h^a_b is covariant constant in above equation, we get

$$\mathfrak{B}_l \mathfrak{B}_m \left(p.P^i_{kh}\right) = a_{lm} \left(P^a_{bc} h^i_a h^b_k h^c_h\right).$$

Using eq. (5.1) in above equation, we get

$$\mathfrak{B}_l \mathfrak{B}_m \left(p.P^i_{kh}\right) = a_{lm} \left(p.P^i_{kh}\right). \tag{5.2}$$

Equation (5.2) refers to the projection on indicatrix for the torsion tensor P^i_{kh} behaves as birecurrent.

Secondly, let the projection on indicatrix for the torsion tensor P^i_{kh} is birecurrent, i.e. satisfy eq. (5.2). Using (2.12) in eq. (5.2), we get

$$\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m \left(P^a_{bc}\ h^i_a h^b_k h^c_h\right) = a_{lm} \left(P^a_{bc}\ h^i_a h^b_k h^c_h\right).$$

By using (2.13) in above equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m\big[P^i_{kh} - P^i_{kc}l^c l_h - P^i_{bh}l^b l_k + P^i_{bc}l^b l_k l^c l_h\\
&-P^a_{kh}l^i l_a + P^a_{kc}l^i l_a l^c l_h + P^a_{bh}l^i l_a l^b l_k - P^a_{bc}l^i l_a l^b l_k l^c l_h\big]\\
&= a_{lm}\big[P^i_{kh} - P^i_{kc}l^c l_h - P^i_{bh}l^b l_k + P^i_{bc}l^b l_k l^c l_h\\
&-P^a_{kh}l^i l_a + P^a_{kc}l^i l_a l^c l_h + P^a_{bh}l^i l_a l^b l_k - P^a_{bc}l^i l_a l^b l_k l^c l_h\big].
\end{aligned}$$

In view of (2.3) and if

$$P^a_{bc}y_a = P^a_{bc}y^b = P^a_{bc}y^c = 0,$$

then above equation can be written as

$$\mathfrak{B}_l\mathfrak{B}_m P^i_{kh} = a_{lm} P^i_{kh}.$$

The above equation means the torsion tensor P^i_{kh} behaves as birecurrent.

6. **Conclusion**

We obtained the necessary and sufficient condition for Cartan's second curvature tensor P^i_{jkh}, associate curvature tensor P_{ijkh}, torsion tensor P^i_{kh}, $P-$Ricci tensor P_{jk}, curvature vector P_k and scalar curvature P which satisfy birecurrence property in $\mathfrak{B}C - BRF_n$, $P2-$ like $-\mathfrak{B}C - BRF_n$, $P^*- \mathfrak{B}C - BRF_n$ and $P-$reducible $-\mathfrak{B}C - BRF_n$. Furthermore, the relationship between Cartan's second curvature tensor P^i_{jkh} and $(h)hv-$torsion tensor C^i_{jk} in sense of Berwald has been discussed.

References

1. A. A. Abdallah, A. A. Navlekar and K. P. Ghadle, *On $\mathfrak{B}-$covariant derivative of first order for some tensors in different spaces*, J. Math. Analysis. Model. **2**(2) (2021), 30-37.
2. A. A. Abdallah, A. A. Navlekar and K. P. Ghadle, *Special types of generalized $\mathfrak{B}P-$recurrent spaces*, J. Computer. Math. Sci. **10**(5) (2019), 972-979.
3. A. A. Abdallah, A. A. Navlekar and K. P. Ghadle, *On P^*- and $P-$reducible of Cartan's second curvature tensor*, J. Appl. Sci. computation. **6**(11) (2019), 13-24.
4. A. A. Abdallah, A. A. Navlekar, K. P. Ghadle and A. A. Hamoud, *Decomposition for Cartan's second curvature tensor of different order in Finsler spaces*, Nonlinear. Functional. Analysis. Appl. **27**(2) (2022), 433-448.
5. A. A. Abdallah, A. A. Hamoud, A. A. Navlekar and K. P. Ghadle, *On special spaces of $H(hv)$-torsion tensor c_{jkh} in generalized recurrent finsler space*, Bull. Pure & Applied. Sci. Math. Stat. **41**(1) (2022), 74-80.
6. A. A. Saleem, *On certain generalized birecurrent and trirecurrent Finsler spaces*, M.Sc. Thesis, University of Aden, Yemen, 2011.

7. A. A. Saleem, and A. A. Abdallah, *Study On U^h- birecurrent Finsler spaces*, Int. J. Adv. Res. Sci. Commun. Tech. **2**(3) (2022), 28-39.
8. A. M. Hanballa, *On covariant differentiation of curvature tensors in Finsler spaces,* University of Aden, (Aden), (Yemen), 2016.
9. A. M. Otman, *On covariant differentiation for curvature tensor of third order in the sense of Berwald*, M.sc. Thesis, University of Aden, Yemen, 2018.
10. F. Y. Qasem and A. M. Hanballa, *On study K^h- generalized birecurrent Finsler space*, Int. J. Sci. Basic. Appl. Res. **25**(3), (2016), 208-216.
11. H. Izumi, *On P^*-Finsler space of scalar curvature*, Tensor N.S., **38**(1982), 220-222.
12. H. Rund, *The differential geometry of Finsler spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Göttingen, (1959); 2nd Edit. (in Russian), Nauka, (Moscow), 1981.
13. K. C. Sarangi and A. Goswami, *On $C-$ recurrent Finsler space*, J. Int. Acad. Phys. Sci. **10** (2006), 17-24.
14. M. A. Alqufail, F. Y. Qasem and A. A. Muhib, *On study K^h-birecurrent Finsler spaces*, Sci. J. Fac. Edu. Thamar. 2015.
15. M. Dahl, *An brief introduction to Finsler geometry,* Springer, 2006.
16. M. Gheorghe, *The indicatrix in Finsler geometry*, Anal. Sti. Ale. Uuiv. Mat. Tomul LIII, (2007), 163-180.
17. M. Matsumoto, *On $h-$isotropic and C^h-recurrent Finsler*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **11** (1971), 1-9.
18. M. Matsumoto, *On Finsler spaces with curvature tensor of some special forms*, Tensor N.S., **22** (1971), 201-204.
19. P. K. Dwivedi, *$P-$ reducible Finsler spaces and applications*, Int. J. Math. Analysis, **5**(5) (2011), 223-229.
20. P. N. Pandey and R. Verma, *C^h-birecurrent Finsler spaces*, J. Int. Acad. Phy. Sci. **2**(1998), 43-50.
21. P. N. Pandy and S. Dikshit, *On P^*- and $P-$reducible Finsler spaces of recurrent curvature*, J. Int. Acad. Phy. Sci. **2** (1998), 11-17.
22. P. S. Saxena and P. Swaroop, *A study of P^*-reducible Finsler space with Douglas tensor*, J. Int. Acad. Phy. Sci. **17**(3) (2013), 277-285.
23. S. Dikshit, *Certain types of recurrences in Finsler spaces,* D. phil. Thesis, University of Allahabad, (Allahabad), (India), 1992.
24. S. I. Ohta, *Comparison Finsler geometry,* Springer International Publishing, 2021.
25. S. M. Zamanzadeh, B. Najafi and M. Toomanian, *On generalized $P-$reducible Finsler manifolds*, Open. Math. **16**(2018), 718-723.

Received: 05.01.2023
Accepted: 22.05.2023